UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FLOYD HINSO	ON	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV-11-1522 JSW
METROPOLIT COMPANY	v. AN LIFE INSURANCE	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	nsel report that they have met and pulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the follow	ing ADR process:
(Note: Partie appreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their needs to conference and may not file this Conference. See Civil Local Rule ate Process: Private ADR (please identify)	(IE) (ADR L.R. 5) lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an s form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
	referring the case to an ADR	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 06/27/	2011	Constantin V. Roboostoff
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 06/27/2	2011	Richard B. Hopkins, II
		Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursua	ant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
		Non-binding Arbitration
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
		Mediation
	₽	Private ADR
	Deadli	ne for ADR session
	⊄	90 days from the date of this order.
		other
IT IS S	SO ORE	DERED.
Dated:_June 30, 2011		0, 2011 Jeffry Swhits
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE