

1 THE PAYNTER LAW FIRM PLLC
2 Stuart M. Paynter (226147)
3 Jennifer L. Murray (*Pro Hac Vice*)
4 Sara Willingham (*Pro Hac Vice*)
5 1200 G Street N.W., Suite 800
6 Washington, D.C. 20005
7 Telephone: (202) 626-4486
8 Facsimile: (866) 734-0622
9 Email: stuart@smplegal.com
10 jimurray@smplegal.com
11 swillingham@paynterlawfirm.com

12 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
13 Robert B. Carey (*Pro Hac Vice*)
14 Leonard W. Aragon (*Pro Hac Vice*)
15 11 West Jefferson Street, Suite 1000
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85003
17 Telephone: (602) 840-5900
18 Facsimile: (602) 840-3012
19 Email: rob@hbsslaw.com
20 leonard@hbsslaw.com

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff Robin Antonick
22
23
24

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBIN ANTONICK, an Illinois Citizen,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC., a California
corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:11-CV-01543-CRB

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER REGARDING SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION, PRETRIAL
CONFERENCE AND TRIAL**

Judge: Charles R. Breyer
Date Comp. Filed: March 30, 2011
Trial Date: April 1, 2013

1 WHEREAS, on January 29, 2013, Defendant Electronic Arts Inc. ("EA") filed a Third
2 Motion for Summary Judgment, which is set for hearing on March 8, 2013;

3 WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests a seven-day (7) extension to file his opposition to EA's
4 Third Motion for Summary Judgment, from the current due date of February 12, 2013, to February
5 19, 2013;

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiff also has asked to exceed the page limitation of Civil L.R. 7-4(b) by
7 an additional five pages; and

8 WHEREAS, trial in this matter is set for April 1, 2013 and no Final Pretrial Conference is
9 yet scheduled.

10 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff
11 and Defendant, as follows:

- 12 • Plaintiff's opposition to the motion for summary judgment is now due February 19,
13 2013. Plaintiff's memorandum shall not exceed 30 pages of text;
- 14 • Defendant's reply is now due on March 4, 2013;
- 15 • The Court may set a hearing date for the Third Summary Judgment motion on any
16 date after March 4, 2013, that works on its calendar;
- 17 • EA proposes that the Court continue the trial date to April 29, 2013, and set the
18 Final Pretrial Conference on April 18, 2013 at 2:30 p.m., so that the parties may
19 know the Court's ruling on its summary judgment motion before filing their motions
20 *in limine* and the joint proposed final pretrial order.
- 21 • Antonick requests that the Court maintain the trial date of April 1, 2013, and set the
22 Final Pretrial Conference for March 26, 2013 at 2:30 p.m. If the Court continues the
23 trial, however, Plaintiff respectfully requests that his opposition to the motion for
24 summary judgment be due on February 26, 2013 and the reply be due on March 12,
25 2013.

26 ///

27 ///

The authority for and concurrence in the filing of this stipulated request has been obtained from each of the signatories, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3).

DATED: February 4, 2013

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

DATED: February 4, 2013

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

Plaintiff's opposition to EA's Third Motion for Summary Judgment is due on Feb. 19, 2013. Plaintiff's memorandum shall not exceed 30 pages of text. Defendant's reply in support of its Third Motion for Summary Judgment is due on March 4, 2013.

The hearing date for EA's Third Summary Judgment Motion shall be March 22, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. The Final Pretrial Conference date shall be March 26, 2013 at 2:30 p.m. The trial shall begin on April 1, 2013 at 9:00 a.m.

DATED: February 12, 2013

