27

28

1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 ARTHUR MCCOY, No. C 11-01569 WHA 9 Plaintiff, 10 NOTICE REGARDING v. **DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS** 11 ALAMEDA COUNTY, a municipal corporation; B. BARKER; ERICH D. 12 MARAPAO; N. GONZALGO; STUART BARNES; and DOES 1–25, inclusive, 13 Defendants. 14 15 The parties filed a stipulation to dismiss under FRCP 41(A)(1) claims 6 (negligence) and 7 16 (breach of duty to supervise, train and discipline) in plaintiff's amended complaint (Dkt. No. 29). 17 Our court of appeals has held that a plaintiff may not use Rule 41(a)(1) to dismiss one or more but 18 less than all of several claims. Hells Canyon Preservation Council v. U.S. Forest Service, 19 403 F.3d 683, 687–688 (9th Cir. 2005). "Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) is the appropriate 20 mechanism [w]here a plaintiff desires to eliminate an issue, or one or more but less than all of 21 several claims, but without dismissing as to any of the defendants." id. at 688. According, the 22 purported dismissal was invalid. The parties instead should file a motion for leave to amend 23 the complaint 24 25 Dated: November 18, 2011. 26 United States District Judge