IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICK JAMES, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UMG RECORDINGS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.
_____/

No. C 11-1613 SI

ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL

Plaintiffs have filed an administrative motion to file under seal portions of a motion for relief from a non-dispositive ruling by a magistrate judge and accompanying exhibits. Plaintiffs' administrative motion and supporting declaration state that the deposition excerpts at issue have been designated confidential by defendant UMGR and that those excerpts "reveal[] confidential user content from depositions of UMGR executives." Docket No. 186-1 ¶ 3. However, Mr. Simon's declaration in support of the administrative motion to seal also states that defendant declined to stipulate to the filing of the material under seal, and defendant has not filed a declaration showing why the deposition excerpts should be filed under seal. *See* Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)(1).

The Court has reviewed the deposition excerpts and references thereto and determines that there has been no "particularized showing" of "good cause" why the material should be filed under seal. *See Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, plaintiffs' administrative motion to seal is DENIED and plaintiffs shall file unredacted copies of the motion for relief and accompanying exhibits. *See* Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)(2). The briefing schedule

set at the February 28, 2014 case management conference for the motion for relief from the non-dispositive order remains unchanged: defendant's opposition to the motion for relief must be filed by March 10, 2014, and plaintiffs' reply is due March 17, 2014.

This order resolves Docket No. 186.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 7, 2014

SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge