1 2 3 4 5	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117 MORGAN K. LOPEZ, Bar No. 215513 JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE, Bar No. 2385: One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 210-2800 Facsimile: (925) 945-1975	59	
	MORRIS JAMES LLP		
6	P. Clarkson Collins, Jr., Pro Hac Vice Pendin	g	
7	Jason C. Jowers, <i>Pro Hac Vice Pending</i> 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500		
8	Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 888-6800		
9	Facsimile: (302) 571-1750 E-mail: pcollins@morrisjames.com		
10	0 jjowers@morrisjames.com		
11	Attorneys for E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND	Case No. 3:11-cv-01665-JSW	
17	COMPANY,	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING	
18	Plaintiff,	STAY OF ACTION	
19	v.)	L. I. II I CC C YYR L	
	USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY,)	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White Hearing Date: None	
20	INC., PERFORMANCE GROUP (USA), INC., WALTER LIEW, and JOHN LIU,		
21	Defendants.)		
22			
23			
24	Pursuant to the Court's November 29, 2011 Order, Plaintiff E. I. du Pont de Nemours an		
25	Company ("DuPont") and defendants Walter Liew and USA Performance Technology, Inc.		
26	(collectively "USAPT") submit this Joint Status Report. The parties request that the stay in this		
27	matter set to expire on January 31, 2012, remain in place for an additional 60 days, through Apri		
28	2, 2012.		

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document49 Filed01/24/12 Page2 of 4

1	On August 23, 2011, the United States filed United States v. Walter Liew and Christina		
2	Liew, No. CR-11-0573-RS.		
3	<u>DuPont's Position</u> : The indictment alleges that defendant Walter Liew and his wife,		
4	Christina Liew, tampered with a witness in this action by, among other things, telling the witness		
5	not to reveal his knowledge of certain employees of defendant USAPTI because it would not be		
6	good for the witness or his family, and instructing the witness to lie about his knowledge of		
7	certain individuals relevant to the trade secret case, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512. The		
8	indictment also alleges that Walter Liew and Christina Liew engaged in misleading conduct		
9	towards agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and made false statements during the		
10	execution of a search warrant by intentionally lying to the agents concerning the whereabouts of a		
11	safe deposit box that contained evidence relevant to the FBI's investigation, in violation of 18		
12	U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 1512. (Docket # 41 at 2(b).)		
13	<u>USAPT's Position</u> : Defendants believe that the August 23 Complaint speaks for itself,		
14	and no further explanation or commentary is appropriate or needed.		
15	On September 7, 2011, this Court issued an Order relating the criminal proceeding with		
16	this action, pursuant to its determination that this action and the criminal proceeding are related		
17	within the meaning of Crim. L.R. 8-1(b). (Docket # 42.) ¹		
18	On September 23, 2011, the parties filed a joint status report requesting that the stay		
19	initially entered on July 22, 2011 (Docket #39), be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket		
20	# 44.) On September 29, 2011, the Court granted the parties' request. (Docket # 45.)		
21	On November 23, 2011, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that		
22	the stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 46.) The Court granted the parties		
23	request on November 29, 2011. (Docket # 48.)		
24	The parties understand that the United States shortly plans to file a superseding		
25	indictment alleging misappropriation of DuPont's trade secrets. The parties to the criminal		
26	proceedings agree that this civil action should be stayed for an additional 60 days.		
27	On September 16, 2011, DuPont dismissed without prejudice defendant John Liu pursuant to		
28	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). (Docket # 43.) Thus, the only remaining defendants in this action are Walter Liew and his company USA Performance Technology Inc.		

- 2 -

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document49 Filed01/24/12 Page3 of 4

1	The undersigned counsel agree that the stay remain in place for an additional 60 days, a		
2	which time the parties can update the Court.		
3			
4	2 iii 2 ii 2 ii 2 ii 2 ii 2 ii 2 ii 2	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN	
5	ľ	MORGAN K. LOPEZ JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE	
6		One Walnut Creek Center 00 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500	
7	Z. Z	Valnut Creek, CA 94596	
8	14	MORRIS JAMES LLP	
9	\mathbf{J}_{L}	CLARKSON COLLINS, JR. ASON C. JOWERS	
10	W	00 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 7 Ilmington, DE 19801	
11	By_	/s/ Morgan K. Lopez	
12	N	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13	I	MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT	
14	K	ON LU IEVIN M. PASQUINELLI	
15	3	LiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 33 West San Carlos Street	
16		an Jose, CA 95110-2740	
17	A	/s/ Kevin M. Pasquinelli httorneys for Defendants USA Performance	
18	1	echnology, Inc., and Walter Liew	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document49 Filed01/24/12 Page4 of 4

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	Having read and considered the Joint Status Report,	
3	IT IS ORDERED THAT:	
4	The parties' request that the stay be extended until April 2, 2012 is hereby GRANTED.	
5	Counsel shall submit a joint status report on or before March 26, 2012.	
6		
7	Jeffrey Swhits	
8	January 31, 2012	
9	Hopora Me Yeffrey S. White UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
.7 .8		
0		