```
GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP
1
    CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117
    MORGAN K. LOPEZ, Bar No. 215513
2
    JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE, Bar No. 238559
    One Walnut Creek Center
3
    100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500
    Walnut Creek, CA 94596
    Telephone: (925) 210-2800
    Facsimile: (925) 945-1975
5
6
    Attorneys for E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company
7
8
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                           NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
                                                 Case No. 3:11-cv-01665-JSW
11
    E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND
                                                 JOINT STATUS REPORT AND
12
    COMPANY,
                                                 PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING
                 Plaintiff,
                                                 STAY OF ACTION
13
                                                 AS MODIFIED HEREIN
14
           V.
                                                 Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
                                                 Hearing Date: None
15
    USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY,
    INC., PERFORMANCE GROUP (USA),
    INC., WALTER LIEW, and JOHN LIU,
16
                 Defendants.
17
18
19
           Pursuant to the Court's May 21, 2013 Order, Plaintiff E. I. du Pont de Nemours and
20
    Company ("DuPont") and defendants Walter Liew and USA Performance Technology, Inc.
21
    (collectively "USAPT") submit this Joint Status Report. The parties request that the stay in this
22
    matter set to expire on July 19, 2013, remain in place for an additional 60 days, through
23
    September 17, 2013.
24
           On April 6, 2011, DuPont filed the instant suit. (Docket # 1.) Defendants filed their
25
    Substituted Answer and Counterclaim on July 11, 2011. (Docket # 35.) The action was first
26
    stayed on July 22, 2011. (Docket # 39.)
27
    ///
28
```

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document67 Filed07/12/13 Page2 of 5

1	On August 23, 2011, the United States filed United States v. Walter Liew and Christina			
2	Liew, No. CR-11-0573-RS. On February 7, 2012, the United States filed a superseding			
3	indictment in said action. (Id. at Docket # 64.) On March 12, 2013, the United States filed a			
4	Second Superseding Indictment. (Id. at Docket # 269.)			
5	<u>DuPont's Position</u> : The second superseding indictment alleges that defendant Walter			
6	Liew, his wife, Christina Liew, and several other individual defendants violated multiple federal			
7	trade secret and economic espionage laws when they stole - and utilized - the trade secrets at			
8	issue in this action. Inter alia, Mr. Liew is charged with Conspiracy to Commit Economic			
9	Espionage, Conspiracy to Commit Theft of Trade Secrets, Possession of Trade Secrets,			
10	Conveying Trade Secrets, Witness Tampering, and False Statements. (See id. ¶¶ 16-97.) In			
11	addition, the second superseding indictment identifies five DuPont trade secrets relating to its			
12	TiO2 technology at issue in the criminal action. (Id. ¶ 14.) The second superseding indictment			
13	also names various of the Pangang Companies and charges them with 1) Conspiracy to Commit			
14	Economic Espionage, 2) Conspiracy to Commit Theft of Trade Secrets, and 3) Attempted			
15	Economic Espionage. (Id. ¶¶ 9-10, 17, 22-31, 39-40, 45, 52-54, 57-58.)			
16	<u>USAPT's Position</u> : Defendants believe that the second superseding indictment speaks for			
17	itself, and no further explanation or commentary is appropriate or needed.			
18	History Relating to the Stay in this Action:			
19	On September 7, 2011, this Court issued an Order relating the criminal proceeding with			
20	this action, pursuant to its determination that this action and the criminal proceeding are related			
21	within the meaning of Crim. L.R. 8-1(b). (Docket # 42.) ¹			
22	On September 23, 2011, the parties filed a joint status report requesting that the stay			
23	initially entered on July 22, 2011 (Docket # 39), be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket			
24	# 44.) On September 29, 2011, the Court granted the parties' request. (Docket # 45.)			
25				
26				
27	On September 16, 2011, DuPont dismissed without prejudice defendant John Liu pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). (Docket # 43.) Thus, the only remaining defendants in			
28	this action are Walter Liew and his companies, USA Performance Technology Inc. and Performance Group, Inc.			

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document67 Filed07/12/13 Page3 of 5

1	On November 23, 2011, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that
2	the stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 46.) The Court granted the parties'
3	request on November 29, 2011. (Docket # 48.)
4	On January 24, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the
5	stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 49.) The Court granted the parties' request
6	on January 31, 2012. (Docket # 50.)
7	On March 26, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the
8	stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 51.) The Court granted the parties' request
9	on March 27, 2012. (Docket # 52.)
10	On May 23, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the stay
11	be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 53). The Court granted the parties' request on
12	May 23, 2012. (Docket # 54).
13	On July 23, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the stay
14	be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 55). The Court granted the parties' request on
15	July 24, 2012. (Docket # 56).
16	On September 21, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that
17	the stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 57). The Court granted the parties'
18	request later that day. (Docket # 58).
19	On November 20, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that
20	the stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 59). The Court granted the parties'
21	request later that day. (Docket # 60).
22	On January 18, 2013, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the
23	stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 61). The Court granted the parties' reques
24	later that day. (Docket # 62).
25	On March 26, 2013, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the
26	stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 63). The Court granted the parties' reques
27	later that day. (Docket # 64).
28	

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document67 Filed07/12/13 Page4 of 5

be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 65). The Court granted the parties' request or May 21, 2013. (Docket # 66). The undersigned counsel request that the stay remain in place for an additional 60 days, which time the parties will update the Court. Batter of Dated: July 12, 2013 Batter of Dated: July 12	1	On May 20, 2013, the parties	filed an additional joint status report requesting that the stay
The undersigned counsel request that the stay remain in place for an additional 60 days, which time the parties will update the Court. Dated: July 12, 2013 BY SM PINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN MORGAN K. LOPEZ JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 By SM Morgan K. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiff MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By SM Janiel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew Page 12 22 23 24 25 26 27	2	be extended for an additional 60 days	. (Docket # 65). The Court granted the parties' request on
by shich time the parties will update the Court. Graynn & Finley, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN MORGAN K. LOPEZ JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 By s/ Morgan K. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiff Dated: July 12, 2013 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	3	May 21, 2013. (Docket # 66).	
Dated: July 12, 2013 GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP	4	The undersigned counsel requ	est that the stay remain in place for an additional 60 days, at
The state of the	5	which time the parties will update the	Court.
CLEMENT L. GLYNN MORGAN K. LOPEZ JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 By /s/ Morgan K. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiff Dated: July 12, 2013 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew	6		
MORGAN K. LOPEZ JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE One Walnut Creck Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creck, CA 94596 By /s/ Morgan K. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiff Dated: July 12, 2013 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew Page 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	7	Dated: July 12, 2013	
One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 11 By /s/ Morgan K. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 Dated: July 12, 2013 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 17 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	8		MORGAN K. LOPEZ
Walnut Čreek, CA 94596 By /s/ Morgan K. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiff Dated: July 12, 2013 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew Patrician Street Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew Matter Liew Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew	9		One Walnut Creek Center
By /s/ Morgan K. Lopez	10		
Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Plaintiff MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew Page 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	11		
DANIEL S. MOUNT ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	12		Attorneys for Plaintiff
ON LU KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	13	Dated: July 12, 2013	
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	14		ON LU
San Jose, CA 95110-2740 By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	15		RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	16		
18 Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	17		By /s/ Daniel S. Mount Attornays for Defendants LISA Performance
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	18		
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	19		
 22 23 24 25 26 27 	20		
 23 24 25 26 27 	21		
 24 25 26 27 	22		
252627	23		
2627	24		
27	25		
	26		
28	27		
	28		

Case3:11-cv-01665-JSW Document67 Filed07/12/13 Page5 of 5

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Having read and considered the Joint Status Report,
3	IT IS ORDERED THAT:
4	The parties' request that the stay be extended until September 17, 2013 is hereby
5678	GRANTED. Counsel shall submit a joint status report on or before September 10, 2013. In their next status report, the parties should clearly state whether they seek to maintain a stay until the criminal proceedings are resolved. If so, they should so stipulate, and the stay will be extended accordingly. July 12_, 2013
9	Hongable Jeffrey S. White UNIVER SUATES DISTRICT JUDGE
0	
1	
2	
13	
4	
5	
6	
17	
8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	CIC CICC