

1 SPENCER HOSIE (CA Bar No. 101777)  
 shosie@hosielaw.com  
 2 GEORGE F. BISHOP (CA Bar No. 89205)  
 gbishop@hosielaw.com  
 3 DIANE S. RICE (CA Bar No. 118303)  
 drice@hosielaw.com  
 4 WILLIAM P. NELSON (CA Bar No. 196091)  
 wnelson@hosielaw.com  
 5 HOSIE RICE LLP  
 Transamerica Pyramid, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 6 600 Montgomery Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 7 (415) 247-6000 Tel.  
 (415) 247-6001 Fax

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 9 *Software Research, Inc.*

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13  
 14 SOFTWARE RESEARCH, INC.,  
 15 Plaintiff,  
 16 v.  
 17 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,  
 18  
 19 Defendant.  
 20

Case No. 3:11-cv-01676-JSW  
 ORDER ON  
**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 Plaintiff Software Research, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) and defendant Hewlett-Packard  
2 Company (“Defendant”), hereby stipulate as follows:

3 WHEREAS, on or about April 6, 2011, Plaintiff served its Complaint upon Defendant;  
4 and

5 WHEREAS, no trial date has yet been set in this action; and

6 WHEREAS, Defendant requires additional time to respond to the Complaint, and  
7 Plaintiff and Defendant have agreed to extend the time by which Defendant must answer or  
8 otherwise respond to the Complaint by an additional 30 days, to and including May 27, 2011;  
9 and

10 WHEREAS, no other extensions of time have occurred in this action:

11 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto that Defendant will  
12 have to and including May 27, 2011, to serve and file an answer or other response to the  
13 Complaint.

14 DATED: April 27, 2011

15 HOSIE RICE LLP

16 By:           /s/ William P. Nelson            
17 William P. Nelson  
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
19 *Software Research, Inc.*

20 ROPES & GRAY, et al.

21 By:           /s/ James R. Batchelder            
22 James R. Batchelder  
23 *Attorney for Defendant*  
24 *Hewlett-Packard Company*

25 I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic  
26 filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

27 DATED: April 27, 2011

28           /s/ William P. Nelson          

William P. Nelson

**ORDER**

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS ORDERED THAT** Defendant will have to and including May 27, 2011, to serve and file an answer or other response to the Complaint.

Dated: April <sup>28</sup> \_\_\_, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Jeffrey S. White  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

