Maloof v. County of Alameda et al

Doc. 25

## 

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Kwixuan Maloof and Defendants COUNTY OF ALAMEDA and 2 RAMSEY JACKSON have fully and finally resolved all claims, disputes, and differences in the 3 above-captioned action, 4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties, by and through their 5 respective counsel of record and subject to the approval of the Court, that pursuant to Federal Rule 6 of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii): 7 1. The above-captioned action is hereby dismissed with prejudice; and, 8 9 Each party shall bear its/his own attorneys' fees and costs. 10 11 Dated: August 1, 2012 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS 12 13 By: <u>/S/ John L. Burris</u> 14 John L. Burris, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 KWIXUAN MALOOF 16 17 Dated: August 1, 2012 FOSTER EMPLOYMENT LAW 18 19 By: \_\_\_/S/Michael W. Foster\_ Michael W. Foster, Esq. 20 Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF ALAMEDA and 21 RAMSEY JACKSON 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED: 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED 26 Edward M. Chen U.S. District Judge 27 28 Judge Edward M. Chen

MUTA PREJUDICE

JOINT STIPULATION TO DISMISSAL