

1 Michael J. Coffino (SBN 88109)  
 mcoffino@cronelaw.com  
 2 Jaime J. Santos (SBN 276344)  
 jsantos@cronelaw.com  
 3 THE CRONE LAW GROUP  
 101 Montgomery Street, Suite 2650  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94104

5 Telephone: (415) 955-8900  
 Facsimile: (415) 955-8910

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 PORTCULLIS COMPUTER SECURITY LTD.,  
 a United Kingdom Corporation, PORTCULLIS  
 12 INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Case No. CV-11-2023 (JCS)

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 PORTCULLIS SYSTEMS INC., a  
 Massachusetts Corporation,

16 Defendant.  
 17

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
 CONTINUE DATE FOR FILING  
 RESPONSIVE PLEADING TO  
 PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED  
 COMPLAINT AND STIPULATION TO  
 VENUE AND PERSONAL  
 JURISDICTION IN THIS COURT**

18  
 19 The parties to this action, through their respective counsel, have entered into a stipulation  
 20 (the "Stipulation") on the terms that follow and request that the Court enter an order embracing the  
 21 terms of the Stipulation.

22 1. To facilitate settlement discussions and a potential resolution of this matter, the parties have  
 23 agreed that Defendant may have an extension of time up to and including October 3, 2011 to  
 24 answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint.

25 2. One previous time modification has been implemented by request of the parties. On July  
 26 20, 2011, Plaintiffs, Portcullis Computer Security Ltd. and Portcullis Inc., submitted an unopposed  
 27 motion for an extension of time to meet the deadlines set forth in the Court's April 25, 2011 Order  
 28 Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines ("Case Management Order"), and

1 to reset the Case Management Conference. On July 21, 2011, the Court granted Plaintiffs'  
2 unopposed motion to extend the deadlines set forth in the Case Management Order.

3 3. The parties have further stipulated to venue in the United States District Court for the  
4 Northern District of California for this dispute. Further, Defendant has agreed to submit to the  
5 personal jurisdiction of this Court for purposes of this action. According, the parties waive any  
6 objection to venue in this Court or this Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over them for  
7 purposes of this action.

8 I hereby attest that I have on file at The Crone Law Group a copy of any signatures  
9 indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

10 Dated: July 29, 2011

JAIME J. SANTOS  
THE CRONE LAW GROUP

13 By: /s/ Jaime J. Santos  
Jaime J. Santos  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Portcullis Computer Security Limited and  
Portcullis Inc.

16 Dated: July 29, 2009

WILLIAM E. HILTON  
GESMER UPDEGROVE LLP

19 By: /s/ William E. Hilton  
William E. Hilton  
Attorneys for Defendant Portcullis  
Systems Inc.

22 ORDER

23 Based on to the Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, the terms of the  
24 Stipulation shall become the order of this Court.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: August 1, 2011

27   
28 Judge Joseph C. Spero  
United States Magistrate Judge