

1 MELINDA HAAG, CSBN 132612
 United States Attorney
 2 JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
 Assistant United States Attorney
 3 Chief, Civil Division
 ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909
 4 Assistant United States Attorney
 E-mail: ila.deiss@usdoj.gov
 5
 6 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
 San Francisco, California 94102
 Telephone: (415) 436-7124
 7 FAX: (415) 436-7169

8 Attorneys for Defendant

9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13	SETH ROSENFELD,)	Case No. C 11-02131-MEJ
14)	
15	Plaintiff,)	
16	v.)	JOINT MOTION TO BE EXCUSED
17)	FROM THE FORMAL ADR PROCESS
18	FEDERAL BUREAU OF)	AND PROPOSED ORDER
19	INVESTIGATION AND UNITED)	
20	STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

21
 22 Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled
 23 “Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California,” or the specified portions
 24 of the ADR Unit’s Internet site < www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute
 25 resolution options provided by the court and private entities; and considered whether this case
 26 might benefit from any of them.

27 Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial
 28 because this action is limited to Plaintiff’s request that the Court order Defendant to provide

1 Plaintiff with the information he seeks. Given the substance of the action, ADR will only serve
2 to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily tax court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to
3 ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request that the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option
4 Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any
5 further formal ADR process. The parties agree to continue informal discussions.
6

7 Dated: September 2, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

8 MELINDA HAAG
9 United States Attorney

10 /s/
11 ILA C. DEISS, AUSA¹
12 Attorney for Defendant

13 Dated: September 2, 2011

/s/
14 BENJAMIN W. STEIN
15 Attorney for Plaintiff

16 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

17 IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties are hereby excused from the ADR process.

18 Dated: September 6, 2011

19 
20 MARIA ELENA JAMES
21 United States Chief Magistrate Judge

22
23
24
25
26
27 ¹I, Ila C. Deiss, hereby attest, in accordance with the Northern District of California's
28 General Order No. 45, Section X(B), the concurrence in the filing of this document has been
obtained from the other signatory listed on this document.