ADC Technology	Inc. v. Palm Inc.	Do				
1	Mark D. Flanagan (SBN 130303) mark.flanagan@wilmerhale.com					
2	Nathan L. Walker (SBN 206128) nathan.walker @wilmerhale.com					
3	Christine Duh (SBN 228544) christine.duh@wilmerhale.com					
4	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP					
5	950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304					
6	Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6101					
7	Attorneys for Defendants					
8	PALM, INC. and HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY					
9	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]					
10	[reducional counsel listed on signature page]					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
13	ADC TECHNOLOGY INC	Cose No. 2:11 ov 02126 EMC				
14	ADC TECHNOLOGY, INC.	Case No. 3:11-cv-02136-EMC				
15	Plaintiff,) JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF HIME 26, 2014 CASE MANAGEMENT				
16	V.	OF JUNE 26, 2014 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE				
17	PALM, INC., and HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY) Civil L.R. 7-12				
18	Defendants.))				
19))				
20))				
21))				
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28		Joint Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Regarding Continuance of June 26, 2014 Case Management Conference				

Doc. 92

Dockets.Justia.com

The parties to this action—plaintiff ADC Technology, Inc. ("ADC") and defendants Palm, Inc. and Hewlett-Packard Co. (collectively, "Palm")—respectfully submit this stipulation, requesting that the Court continue the Case Management Conference previously scheduled in this action for June 26, 2014 for one week, to July 3, 2014, or an alternative future date that is convenient to the Court.

Good cause exists for this requested continuance of the Case Management Conference, as set forth below:

- This is a patent case in which the plaintiff, ADC, asserts three patents—namely, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,985,136 (the "'136 patent"), 7,057,605 (the "'605 patent") and 7,567,361 (the "'361 patent") (collectively, the "patents-in-suit").
- In mid-2011, pursuant to a third-party request, the PTO ordered reexamination of each of the three patents-in-suit.
- In July 2011, defendant Palm filed a stipulated motion to stay this case pending final determination of the reexamination of the patents-in-suit by the PTO. (See Docket No. 68.) Plaintiff ADC stipulated to this stay motion. (Id.)
- On July 25, 2011, the Court granted the stipulated stay motion and ordered that "[t]his action is stayed pending final determination of the reexamination of the patents-in-suit" by the PTO. (*See* Docket No. 70.) In its order, the Court instructed the parties to advise the Court when the PTO has issued a final determination on reexamination. (*Id.*) In addition, the Court set a case management conference for a date in May 2012. (*Id.*)
- In 2012 and 2013, and in March and May 2014, defendant Palm and plaintiff ADC filed stipulations requesting continuance of the case management conference, noting that there has not yet been a final determination of the reexamination of all of the patents-in-suit and indicating agreement that a continued stay in this action was appropriate. (*See* Docket Nos. 72, 74, 77, 82, 87, 89.) The Court granted these requests, and the case management conference is currently set for May 29, 2014. (*See* Docket Nos. 73, 75, 78, 81, 83, 84, 88, 90.)

1 2	181 West Madison, Suite 4600 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Telephone: (312) 236-0733 Facsimile: (312) 236-3137
3	Facsimile: (312) 236-3137
4	Martin L. Fineman, (SBN 104413) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
5	505 Montgomery St., Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111-6533 Telephone: (415) 276-6500
6	Facsimile: (415) 276-6599
7	ATTORNEYS for Plaintiff ADC TECHNOLOGY, INC.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	1

28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Case I	Managem	nent Conference previously set for June 26, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. is	hereby
continued to July	3	9:30 , 2014 at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 5, 17th Floor, 450 Golder	ı Gate
Avenue, San Fran	cisco. Ca	alifornia.	

Dated: _________, 2014



SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

I, Nathan L. Walker, hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 19, 2014, in Palo Alto, California.

By: <u>/s/ Nathan L. Walker</u> Nathan L. Walker