27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JAMSHID S. KASHANNEJAD,	No. C-11-2228 EMC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF
10	v.	FROM DEFENDANTS
11	UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES, et al.,	(Docket No. 109)
12	Defendants.	
13		
14		
15	Plaintiff has submitted a brief, in which he essentially argues that he needs two sealed	
16	transportation letters to the carrier (not just one), one to purchase the ticket and one to actually board	
17	the plane. In the alternative, Plaintiff asks that, if there is to be only one sealed transportation letter,	
18	then the Court should instruct the carrier to unseal the letter so that Plaintiff may purchase a ticket	
19	and then accept the opened letter for boarding.	
20	The Court hereby orders Defendants to provide a supplemental brief by July 2, 2012,	
21	responding to Plaintiff's request for relief. The brief should address, inter alia, whether Plaintiff	
22	may legitimately need two transportation letters addressed to the carrier and whether the issuance of	
23	two such letters would prejudice Defendants. The brief should also address whether the alternative	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

request for relief is a practical way of proceeding, particularly given that the transportation letter on its face asks the carrier not to board Plaintiff if there is evidence of tampering with the letter. The brief should be supported by a declaration as necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 27, 2012

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge