

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMSHID S. KASHANNEJAD,

No. C-11-2228 EMC

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S FILING OF
NOVEMBER 2, 2012**

UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND
IMMIGRATION SERVICES, *et al.*,

(Docket No. 164)

Defendants.

_____ /

Per the Court's order of October 12, 2012, *see* Docket No. 161 (order), Plaintiff has identified for Defendants the air carrier he intends to use (Emirates) and the date for pick-up of the transportation letter(s) (November 25, 2012). Plaintiff, however, has asked the Court to reconsider its order requiring him to pick up the transportation letter(s) from a U.S. embassy in Turkey. Plaintiff represents that it is possible for the U.S. embassy in Greece to send the transportation letter(s) to the Swiss embassy in Bern, Switzerland, who will then forward the transportation letter(s) to the Swiss embassy in Tehran through diplomatic mail (thus avoiding the use of, *e.g.*, DHL).

///
///
///
///
///

1 The Court hereby orders Defendants to provide a declaration certifying whether it is or is not
2 possible for the transportation letter(s) to be provided to Plaintiff by the above means. If Defendants
3 claim that it is not possible, then they must provide a specific explanation as to why not. A
4 conclusory explanation shall not suffice. The declaration shall be e-filed within two days of the date
5 of this order, although Defendants may petition for additional time upon a showing of good cause.
6 Absent further order of this Court, Plaintiff is *not* permitted to submit a brief in response to
7 Defendants' declaration.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11 Dated: November 5, 2012

12
13 
14 _____
15 EDWARD M. CHEN
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28