4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	
EUGENE AND HERENIA B PEREZ,	No. C-11-02279 (JCS)
Plaintiff(s),	NOTICE REGARDING SUMMARY
V.	JUDGMENT MOTIONS AND RULE 12(b)(6) DISMISSAL MOTIONS
10 WELLS FARGO BANK NA,	
Defendant(s).	
2	
3 Defendant in this case may file a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal	
14Rules of Civil Procedure or a motion for dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil	
15 Procedure. This notice is written to explain to the <u>pro se</u> plaintiff the process involved in each type	
16 of motion.	
17   A.   SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
18 A motion for summary judgment provides a procedure for terminating an action without trial	
19 if "there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as	
a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Material facts are those which may affect the outcome of	
the case. <u>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</u> , 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). A dispute as to a material fact	
is genuine if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to return a verdict for the party	
23 opposing the motion for summary judgment. <u>Id.</u>	
The party filing the motion for summary judgment is called the "moving party." The moving	
25 party bears the initial burden of identifying those portions of the pleadings, discovery and affidavits	
which demonstrate the absence of a genuine issue of material fact. <u>Celotex Corp. v. Cattrett</u> , 477	
U.S. 317, 323 (1986). Where the moving party will have the burden of proof on an issue at trial, it	
must affirmatively demonstrate that no reasonable trier of fact could find other than for the moving	
V R P O iii a the second secon	NORTHERN DISTRICT EUGENE AND HERENIA B PEREZ, Plaintiff(s), v. WELLS FARGO BANK NA, Defendant in this case may file a motion for su cules of Civil Procedure or a motion for dismissal un trocedure. This notice is written to explain to the pro- f motion. A. <u>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</u> A motion for summary judgment provides a provide supposed of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Material fact and matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Material fact and M. S. 317, 323 (1986). Where the moving party will h

United States District Court For the Northern District of California party. But on an issue for which the opposing party will have the burden of proof at trial, the
 moving party need only point out that there is an absence of evidence to support the opposing
 party's case. Id.

4 Once the moving party meets its initial burden, the opposing party may not rest upon the 5 allegations or denials of unverified pleadings, but must file an opposition setting forth specific facts 6 showing that there is a genuine issue for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). The facts relied upon must be 7 admissible under rules governing admission of evidence generally, and must be presented in items such as: (1) declarations based on personal knowledge, accompanied by sworn or certified copies of 8 9 all documents referred to in the declaration<sup>1</sup>; <u>id.</u>; (2) discovery documents, such as answers to 10 deposition questions, answers to interrogatories or answers to requests for admissions, that have 11 been properly authenticated by a declaration by someone with personal knowledge of the 12 documents' accuracy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c); (3) verified complaints that meet the requirements of 13 Rule 56(e) (that is, complaints containing factual assertions that are within the pleader's personal knowledge and are otherwise admissible evidence), see Schroeder v. McDonald, 55 F.3d 454,460 14 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995); Keenan v. Hall, 83 F.3d 1083, 1090 n.1 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996)). The evidence presented on 15 16 each claim must not only be admissible, but also must be sufficient for a jury to reasonably return a verdict for the opposing party. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 249. If the opposing party fails to contradict 17 18 the moving party with declarations or other evidence, the moving party's evidence may be taken as 19 the truth.

It is not the district court's job to search the record for a genuine issue of triable fact.
Keenan v. Allen, 91 F.3d 1275, 1279 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996). The opposing party has the burden of
identifying with reasonable particularity the evidence that precludes summary judgment. <u>Id.</u> If the
opposing party fails to do so, the district court may properly dismiss the claims. <u>Id.</u>
If the moving party has met its burden of proof and the opposing party fails to set forth
specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial, then "the moving party is entitled to

26

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A declaration is a statement of facts which are personally known to the person making the declaration. The facts in a declaration must be admissible in evidence, i.e., evidentiary facts and not conclusions or argument. The declaration must show affirmatively that the person making the declaration is competent to testify to the matters stated therein and contain no inadmissible hearsay or opinions. A declaration must be made under penalty of perjury, i.e., it must be signed at the end after the statement "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on [date]."

judgment as a matter of law." <u>Celotex Corp.</u>, 477 U.S. at 323. A successful motion for summary
 judgment terminates the action without trial, and will result in a final judgment on the merits.

## B. <u>DISMISSAL MOTION</u>

Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant may file a motion to dismiss for failure of the pleading to state a claim upon which relief can be granted Such motion shall be treated as one for summary judgment and disposed of as provided in Rule 56, and all parties shall be given reasonable opportunity to present all material made pertinent to such a motion by Rule 56.

10 Dated: April 23, 2012

C/

JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge