

United States District Court For the Northern District of California party. But on an issue for which the opposing party will have the burden of proof at trial, the
 moving party need only point out that there is an absence of evidence to support the opposing
 party's case. Id.

4 Once the moving party meets its initial burden, the opposing party may not rest upon the 5 allegations or denials of unverified pleadings, but must file an opposition setting forth specific facts 6 showing that there is a genuine issue for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). The facts relied upon must be 7 admissible under rules governing admission of evidence generally, and must be presented in items such as: (1) declarations based on personal knowledge, accompanied by sworn or certified copies of 8 9 all documents referred to in the declaration¹; <u>id.</u>; (2) discovery documents, such as answers to 10 deposition questions, answers to interrogatories or answers to requests for admissions, that have 11 been properly authenticated by a declaration by someone with personal knowledge of the 12 documents' accuracy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c); (3) verified complaints that meet the requirements of 13 Rule 56(e) (that is, complaints containing factual assertions that are within the pleader's personal knowledge and are otherwise admissible evidence), see Schroeder v. McDonald, 55 F.3d 454,460 14 (9th Cir. 1995); Keenan v. Hall, 83 F.3d 1083, 1090 n.1 (9th Cir. 1996)). The evidence presented on 15 16 each claim must not only be admissible, but also must be sufficient for a jury to reasonably return a verdict for the opposing party. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 249. If the opposing party fails to contradict 17 18 the moving party with declarations or other evidence, the moving party's evidence may be taken as 19 the truth.

It is not the district court's job to search the record for a genuine issue of triable fact.
Keenan v. Allen, 91 F.3d 1275, 1279 (9th Cir. 1996). The opposing party has the burden of
identifying with reasonable particularity the evidence that precludes summary judgment. <u>Id.</u> If the
opposing party fails to do so, the district court may properly dismiss the claims. <u>Id.</u>
If the moving party has met its burden of proof and the opposing party fails to set forth
specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial, then "the moving party is entitled to

26

 ¹A declaration is a statement of facts which are personally known to the person making the declaration. The facts in a declaration must be admissible in evidence, i.e., evidentiary facts and not conclusions or argument. The declaration must show affirmatively that the person making the declaration is competent to testify to the matters stated therein and contain no inadmissible hearsay or opinions. A declaration must be made under penalty of perjury, i.e., it must be signed at the end after the statement "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on [date]."

judgment as a matter of law." Celotex Corp., 477 U.S. at 323. A successful motion for summary judgment terminates the action without trial, and will result in a final judgment on the merits. Dated: January 19, 2012 C/ JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge