1 2 3	Nicholas Ranallo, Attorney at Law #275016 371 Dogwood Way Boulder Creek, CA 95006 Telephone No.: (831) 703 - 4011 Fax No.: (831) 533-5073 Email: nick@ranallolawoffice.com Attorney for Account-Holder A. Lopez			
4				
5				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	HARD DRIVE PRODUCTIONS, LLC	Case No. C-11-02333(JCS)		
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	JOINT STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER WITHDRAWING ANSWER (ECF #25)		
14	A. LOPEZ, DOE DEFENDANT #1	AND MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF		
15	ASSOCIATED WITH IP ADDRESS 108.0.16.220	#26)		
16	Defendente			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	RECI	TALS		
20	WHEREAS, the original Complaint in	n the above-captioned case was filed on May		
21	11, 2011 against 87 anonymous "Doe" Defen	dants identified only by IP address; and		
22	WHEREAS, on May 13, 2011, Plaintiff filed an Ex Parte Application seeking			
23	limited expedited discovery from relevant Internet Service Providers (ISPs), including the			
24	name, address, phone number, MAC address and other information associated with the 87			
25	IP addresses identified in the Complaint; and			
26	WHEREAS, on August 25, 2011, this	s court denied Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application		
27	seeking limited expedited discovery from the ISPs except as to IP Address #1, the account			
28				
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER WITH	DRAWING ANSWER AND MOTION TO DISMISS		

1	associated with Alejandra Lopez, and
2	WHEREAS, on October 14, 2011, this court issued an Order Severing and
3	Dismissing Doe Defendants 2-87; and
4	WHEREAS, on December 7, 2011, Plaintiff moved this court to continue the
5	Initial Case Management Conference in order to contact the account-holder associated
6	with IP Address, Alejandra Lopez, and
7	WHEREAS, on December 9, 2011, this court granted Plaintiff's motion to
8	Continue the Initial Case Management Conference to January 13, 2011, and
9	WHEREAS, in response to the communications from Plaintiff that were
10	authorized by this court, on January 9, 2012, Alejandra Lopez, filed an Answer to the
11	Complaint (ECF #25) and a Motion to Dismiss (ECF # 26) based on the mistaken belief
12	that she was a party to the above-captioned lawsuit; and
13	WHEREAS, Ms. Lopez has not been served with a Summons and Complaint in
14	accordance with Rule 4; and
15	WHEREAS, Plaintiff maintains that they have not identified the actual Doe
16	Defendant in this matter; and
17	WHEREAS, Plaintiff further maintains that Ms. Lopez is not presently a defendant
18	in this action, and
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiff maintains that they will properly effectuate service under
20	Rule 4 following the identification of the Doe Defendant,
21	
22	
23	
24	[intentionally left blank]
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER WITHDRAWING ANSWER AND MOTION TO DISMISS

1

2

3

4

## **STIPULATION**

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby jointly stipulate and request an Order as follows:
 (1) Ms. Lopez' Answer (ECF #25) and Motion to Dismiss (ECF #26) are hereby withdrawn without prejudice to re-filing under the terms described below.

5 (2) Plaintiff shall commence any future lawsuit against Ms. Lopez individually
6 by filing and serving an Amended Complaint naming Ms. Lopez individually, in her
7 personal capacity, in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

8 (3)Ms. Lopez hereby reserves any and all objections, counter-claims, and 9 affirmative defenses that it may have against Plaintiff, whether or not any of these claims 10 and/or defenses were raised in Ms. Lopez' initial pro se Answer or Motion to Dismiss. 11 This reservation includes, but is not limited to, a reservation of the rights: (a) to move to 12 dismiss the Complaint for failure to state a claim, (b) to move to strike the complaint or 13 any portion thereof; (c) to move for a more definite statement; or (d) to move to dismiss 14 for lack of personal jurisdiction or improper venue, or (e) to raise any other claim, defense, 15 or file any other motion that would ordinarily be proper for a Defendant that has been properly served with a Summons and Complaint. 16

17 (4) Any future Answer or Responsive Motions from Ms. Lopez shall be due 21
18 days after proper service of an Amended Complaint on Ms. Lopez, as described in
19 Paragraph 2.

(5) In the event that this Court has not decided on the present request before
January 31, 2011, Plaintiff and Ms. Lopez agree that the current January 31, 2012 deadline
for her to file an Amended Answer as a matter of right under Rule 15 is hereby waived,
and Ms. Lopez will have an unlimited time, within reason, to file such in the future.
Additionally, Ms. Lopez' right to make certain challenges to jurisdiction and venue are
likewise extended.

26

27 || [intentionally left blank]

28

1	(6) Ms. Lopez explicitly reserves the right to challenge, modify, or quash the deposition		
2	authorized by this court's Order dated January 13, 2012, or otherwise seek a protective		
3	order granting relief therefrom.		
4			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED		
6			
7	Dated: January 24, 2012	NICHOLAS RANALLO, ATTORNEY AT LAW	
8			
9		/s/Nicholas Ranallo	
10		Nicholas R. Ranallo (#275016) Attorney for Alejandra Lopez, Account Holder	
11		Associated with IP Address 108.0.16.220	
12			
13			
14			
15	Dated: January 24, 2012	PRENDA LAW INC.	
16			
17			
18		/s/Brett Gibbs Brett L. Gibbs, Attorney for Plaintiff Hard Drive	
19		Productions, LLC.	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		PODED NUTUED AND AND TO NOT ON TO SAU TO	
	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER WITHDRAWING ANSWER AND MOTION TO DISMISS		

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE JOINT STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

(1) Ms. Lopez' Answer (ECF #25) and Motion to Dismiss (ECF #26) are hereby withdrawn without prejudice to re-filing under the terms described below.

(2) Plaintiff shall commence any future lawsuit against Ms. Lopez individually by filing and serving an Amended Complaint naming Ms. Lopez individually, in her personal capacity, in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9 (3)Ms. Lopez hereby reserves any and all objections, counter-claims, and 10 affirmative defenses that it may have against Plaintiff, whether or not any of these claims 11 and/or defenses were raised in Ms. Lopez' initial pro se Answer or Motion to Dismiss. 12 This reservation includes, but is not limited to, a reservation of the rights: (a) to move to 13 dismiss the Complaint for failure to state a claim, (b) to move to strike the complaint or any portion thereof; (c) to move for a more definite statement; or (d) to move to dismiss 14 15 for lack of personal jurisdiction or improper venue, or (e) to raise any other claim, defense, or file any other motion that would ordinarily be proper for a Defendant that has been 16 17 properly served with a Summons and Complaint.

18 (4) Any future Answer or Responsive Motion(s) from Ms. Lopez shall be due 21
19 days after proper service of an Amended Complaint on Ms. Lopez, as described in
20 Paragraph 2.

(5) In the event that this Court has not decided on the present request before
January 31, 2011, Plaintiff and Ms. Lopez agree that the current January 31, 2012 deadline
for her to file an Amended Answer as a matter of right under Rule 15 is hereby waived,
and Ms. Lopez will have an unlimited time, within reason, to file such in the future.
Additionally, Ms. Lopez' right to make certain challenges to jurisdiction and venue are
likewise extended.

27

1	(6) Ms. Lopez explicitly reserves the right to challenge, modify, or quash the deposition
2	authorized by this court's Order dated January 13, 2012, or otherwise seek a protective
3	order granting relief therefrom.
4	
5	
6	
7	ES DISTRIC
8	STATES CONTROL
9 10	E scale
11	Z Judge Joseph C. Spero
12	
13	Dated: January 25, 2012
14	The Honorable Joseph C. Spero Magistrate Judge for the Northern
15	District of California
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24 25	
25	
25 26	
25 26 27	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER WITHDRAWING ANSWER AND MOTION TO DISMISS

1	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE		
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 (X), Nicholas Ranallo, the filer of the foregoing		
3	Stipulation and Proposed Order Withdrawing Answer and Motion to Dismiss hereby		
4	attests that the following additional signatories have concurred in its filing.		
5	Brett L. Gibbs		
6	Prenda Law Inc.		
7	38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941		
8			
9			
10	January 25, 2012/s/Nicholas Ranallo		
11	Nicholas Ranallo, Attorney at Law Attorney for Account-Holder A. Lope		
12	371 Dogwood Way	7Ζ	
13	Boulder Creek, CA 95006		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER WITHDRAWING ANSWER AND MOTION TO DISMISS		