Technology & Intellectual Property Strategies Group PC v. Fthenakis et al

Doc. 15

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	Northern District of California	
3		
4	TECHNOLOGY & INTELLECTUAL N PROPERTY STRATEGIES GROUP PC.	Jo. C 11-02373 MEJ
5	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO FILE CONSENT/DECLINATION FORM
6 7		OKM
7 0	BASIL P. FTHENAKIS, ET AL.	
8	Defendant(s).	
9		
10 11	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to dismiss. Upon review of the record in this action, the Court notes that the parties have not filed written consents to magistrate judge jurisdiction. This civil case was randomly assigned to the undersigned magistrate judge for all	
11		
12		
13 14	purposes including trial. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the magistrate judges of this district	
	court are designated to conduct any and all proceedings in a civil case, including trial and entry of	
15	final judgment, upon the consent of the parties. An appeal from a judgment entered by a magistrate	
16 17	judge may be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in the same	
17	manner as an appeal from any other judgment of a district court.	
10 19	You have the right to have your case assigned to a United States District Judge for trial and	
	disposition. Accordingly, the parties shall inform the Court whether they consent to magistrate	
20	judge jurisdiction or request reassignment to a United States District Judge for trial. A copy of both	
21	the consent and declination forms may be obtained from the Northern District of California's website	
22	at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/. From the homepage, choose "Forms" and then "Civil Forms."	
23	The parties shall inform the Court of their decision by July 21, 2011.	
24 25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: July 7, 2011	M
27		Maria-Elen, Jones
28		Chief United States Magistrate Judge

Dockets.Justia.com