1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	Northern District of California		
6			
7	TECHNOLOGY & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY STRATEGIES GROUP PC,	No. C 11-2373 MEJ	
8		ORDER RE PROTECTIVE ORDER	
9	Plaintiff, v.	Re: Docket No. 72	
10	BASIL P. FTHENAKIS, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12	/		
13	The Court is in receipt of a joint discovery dispute letter from the parties in this action		
14	regarding the designation of experts in a proposed stipulated protective order. Dkt. No. 72. Upon		
15	5 review of the parties' letter, the Court finds it unnecessary to modify the expert designation language		
16	in the Court's sample protective order. Any party objecting to the admissibility of the testimony of a		
17	person disclosed as an expert witness may file a written motion in limine to exclude the testimony.		
18	The December 7, 2011 discovery meet and confer is VACATED.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: December 5, 2011	M	
21		Maria-Elena Jame	
22		Chief United States Megistrate Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Dockets.Justia.com