

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMAL DANWELL ORTIZ,  
Plaintiff,

NO. C 11-2380 WHA (PR)  
**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

v.


MATTHEW L. CATE; D. FOSTION;  
D. STARK; G.D. LEWIS; P.T. SMITH;  
CRUISE; R. BELL; M.J. NIMROD;  
DR. GLINES; V. COMPELLO;  
OFFICER TRIMM; OFFICER  
CARDENAS,

Defendants.

On July 1, 2011, plaintiff's civil rights complaint was dismissed for failure to present a cognizable claim for relief. Plaintiff was granted 30 days to file an amended complaint. He has failed to do so. This case is accordingly **DISMISSED** with prejudice. *See WMX Technologies v. Miller*, 104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 1997) (when complaint has been dismissed with leave to amend and plaintiff does not amend, further district court determination is necessary). The clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 29, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California