## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MASTEROBJE	C18, INC.,	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 11-2402 EMC
MICROSOFT C	v. ORP.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met ar oulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
(Note: Parties appreciably note)	nore likely to meet their needs	NE) (ADR L.R. 5)  It the ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
<b>☆</b>	te Process: Private ADR (please identifyero Center, Suite 1500, San Francisc	o, CA 94111 Private Mediation, JAMS,
	. , ,	
The parties aş		by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order A process unless otherwise ordered.)
<b>4</b>	other requested deadline 30 d	lays of Markman ruling
Dated: 8/8/11		/s/ Spencer Hosie Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/8/11		/s/ Kelly C. Hunsaker
		Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

P	arsuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
	Mediation
<b>4</b>	Private ADR
D	eadline for ADR session
	90 days from the date of this order.
<b>₽</b>	other 30 days of Markman ruling
IT IS SO	ORDERED.
Dated:	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE