UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MASTEROBJECTS, IN	C.,	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 11-2402 EMC
v. MICROSOFT CORP.,		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
-	•	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to	participate in the follo	owing ADR process:
☐ Early ☐ Medi (Note: Parties who be appreciably more like ADR phone confere ADR Phone Confere Private Proc ✓ Private	binding Arbitration (A Neutral Evaluation (E ation (ADR L.R. 6) believe that an early seekely to meet their need ince and may not file thence. See Civil Local Recess:	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ls than any other form of ADR, must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) fly process and provider) Private Mediation, JAMS,
□ the pi		by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order PR process unless otherwise ordered.)
₽ other	requested deadline 30	days of Markman ruling
Dated: 8/8/11		/s/ Spencer Hosie Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/8/11	_	/s/ Kelly C. Hunsaker Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- □ Non-binding Arbitration
- □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- □ Mediation

Deadline for ADR session

- \Box 90 days from the date of this order.
- other 30 days of Markman ruling

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/9/11

