	l v. Wernam rando et al	
	1 1	
1	# ?;	
2		
3		
4	* ,	
5	*	*E-Filed 10/17/11*
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11 12		
13		
14	* *	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT	
18		
19	SPECULATIVE PRODUCT DESIGN, INC.,	Case No.: C 11-02440 RS
20	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSE D] ORDER RE:
21	vs.	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
22	MEHRAM FARDIS, et al.,	
23	i iii	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
28		
	PROPOSE	SD ORDER
27 28	- 1 - [P ROPOSED] ORDER	

Having considered the parties' Stipulation of Dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby orders that this action be dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice, with each party to bear its/his own attorneys' fees and costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDICATED and DECREED this <u>17th</u> day of October, 2011.

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Court Judge for the Northern District of California