1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GIDON M. CAINE (Cal. State Bar No. 188110) <b>ALSTON &amp; BIRD LLP</b> 275 Middlefield Road Suite 150 Menlo Park, California 94025-4008 Telephone: (650) 838-2000 Facsimile: (650) 838-2001 gidon.caine@alston.com Jessica P. Corley ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Elizabeth P. Skola ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) <b>ALSTON &amp; BIRD LLP</b> One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 Telephone: (404) 881-7000 Facsimile: (404) 881-7777 jessica.corley@alston.com elizabeth.skola@alston.com	
11	Attorneys for Defendants	
12	OCLARO, INC., ALAIN COUDER, JERRY TURIN, and JAMES HAYNES	
13	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
15	CURTIS and CHARLOTTE WESTLEY, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,	Case No.: 3:11-CV-02448-EMC
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	Plaintiffs, v. OCLARO, INC., ALAIN COUDER, JERRY TURIN, and JAMES HAYNES,	STIPULATION AND [P <del>ROPOS</del> ED] ORDER SCHEDULING FILING OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS, DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE THERETO, AND RESCHEDULING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
20	Defendants.	CLASS ACTION
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT BY LEAD BLAINTIESS
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SCHEDULING FILING DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE THERETO, AND RESCHEDUI	
	3:11-CV-02448-EMC	Dockets.Ju

Dockets.Justia.com

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Curtis and Charlotte Westley (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), through their counsel, filed a purported class action complaint ("Complaint") against Defendants Oclaro, Inc., Alain Couder, Jerry Turin, and James Haynes (collectively, "Defendants") in the aboveentitled matter on May 19, 2011;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the May 19, 2011 Case Management Conference Order, the Initial Case Management Conference is scheduled for August 30, 2011;

WHEREAS, the Complaint asserts claims under the federal securities laws that are subject to the procedural requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("Reform Act"), including those set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4;

WHEREAS, Defendants presently intend to file a motion to dismiss, which would trigger a stay of discovery under the Reform Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B);

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Reform Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3), purported class members seeking to serve as Lead Plaintiff in this action must file a motion to be appointed as such no later than July 18, 2011;

WHEREAS, additional putative class action complaints similar to the Complaint may be filed before the July 18 deadline, and the parties may need to consider filing a motion to consolidate;

WHEREAS, in order to avoid the unnecessary expenditure of judicial resources or effort by the parties to this action and the Court prior to filing of the motion(s) for appointment of Lead Plaintiff, the parties to this action have agreed, in the interim prior to the appointment of Lead Plaintiff and subject to the Court's approval, to the continuance of the Initial Case Management Conference and an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint or any superseding Complaint; and

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Order is without prejudice to, or waiver of, any rights, arguments, or defenses otherwise available to the parties to this action, including, by not limited to, the right to revisit the timing of the below-referenced pleadings and motions once Lead Counsel has been designated by the Court.

NOW THEREFORE, the undersigned parties, by and through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

- 1. Defendants shall have no obligation to respond to the Complaint filed in the above-captioned action;
- Lead Plaintiff(s) shall have forty-five (45) days after entry of an order appointing Lead Plaintiff(s) to file and serve a consolidated or amended complaint ("Consolidated or Amended Complaint") or to notify Defendants that they shall be proceeding on the original Complaint;
- Defendants shall file and serve any answer or other response within forty-five (45) days of service of the Consolidated or Amended Complaint or after notification by the Lead Plaintiff(s) that they shall be proceeding on the original Complaint;
  - 4. Lead Plaintiff(s) shall file and serve any opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss within forty-five (45) days of service of the motion to dismiss;
  - 5. Defendants shall file and serve a reply brief in support of the motion to dismiss within thirty (30) days of service of any opposition brief;
  - Service of any papers contemplated by this stipulation shall be accomplished by e-filing such papers with the Court and sending a courtesy copy via next day or Saturday delivery;
- Oral argument on Defendants' motion to dismiss will be held at such date and time as the parties shall agree upon prior to filing the motion to dismiss, or on such other date and time as the Court shall order;
  - Defendants agree to waive service of process to the extent that service has not been effected on all Defendants. This stipulation shall not be deemed to waive any defense other than as to sufficiency of service of process;
  - 9. The parties agree that discovery in the above-captioned action shall be stayed pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-

3:11-CV-02448-EMC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

1	4(b)(3)(B), until the Court issues an order resolving Defendants' motion to	
2	dismiss; and	
3	10. The Initial Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for September 2,	
4	2011, is hereby adjourned to: (a) 30 days after defendants file an answer; (b) 60	
5	days after (i) the Court rules on Defendants' motion to dismiss and (ii) Lead	
6	Plaintiff(s) inform the Court that they will not further amend their Complaint; or	
7	(c) to such other date and time as this Court shall order. Until the date of such	
8	Case Management Conference, the stay of discovery shall stay in place, subject to	
9	the parties' right to seek to lift the stay pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B).	
10		
11	DATED: June 29, 2011	
12	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & ALSTON & BIRD LLP DOWD LLP	
13		
14	By: <u>/s/ Shawn A. Williams</u> SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (Cal. State Bar No. 212112) By: <u>/s/ Gidon M. Caine</u> GIDON M. CAINE (Cal. State Bar No. 188110)	
15	No. 213113)275 Middlefield RoadPost Montgomery CenterSuite 150One Manta Struct Scite 1800Mark Dark California 04025 4008	
16	One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94104Menlo Park, California 94025-4008 Telephone: (650) 838-2000Talanhana: (415) 288, 4545Faccimila: (650) 828, 2001	
17	Telephone: (415) 288-4545Facsimile: (650) 838-2001Facsimile (415) 288-4534gidon.caine@alston.comshawnw@rgrdlaw.comgidon.caine@alston.com	
18		
19	and and DADDENI (Col State Day (Constant))	
20	DARREN J. ROBBINS (Cal. State Bar No. 168593) DAVID C. WALTON (Cal. State Dar Na	
21	DAVID C. WALTON (Cal. State Bar No. 167268) One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta Coorgin 20200 2424	
22	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424San Diego, California 92101Telephone: (404) 881-7000Talarharaa (610) 221 1058Faceimila: (404) 881	
23	Telephone:(619)231-1058Facsimile:(404)881-7777Facsimile:(619)231-7423jessica.corley@alston.comdoment@rendlow.comclicabath alsole@alston.com	
24	darrenr@rgrdlaw.com elizabeth.skola@alston.com davew@rgrdlaw.com	
25	Counsel for Plaintiffs Curtis and Charlotte Counsel for Defendants Oclaro, Inc., Alain Couder,	
26	Westley Jerry Turin, and James Haynes	
27		
28	3 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SCHEDULING FILING OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS,	
	STATES THE PROPERTY AND A STATES CONSISTENCE AND A STATES CONTRACT OF A STATES CONTRACT OF A STATES AND A STA	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SCHEDULING FILING OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS, DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE THERETO, AND RESCHEDULING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

ļ	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION		
	I am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file the foregoing		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Scheduling Filing of Consolidated Complaint by Lead		
	Plaintiffs, Defendants' Response Thereto, and Rescheduling Initial Case Management		
	Conference. Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X (B) regarding signatures, I, Gidon M.		
	Caine, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.		
	DATED: JUNE 29, 2011 /S/ GIDON M. CAINE GIDON M. CAINE (CAL. STATE BAR NO. 188110)		
	<b>PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> The Case Management conference is reset from 9/2/11 to 12/2/11 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 5, 17th Fl. A joint CMC Statement shall		
	be filed by 11/25/11. DATED:		
	United States District Durb. Judge		
	TT IS SO ORDERED TT IS SO ORDERED TO MODIFIED Judge Edward M. Chen		
	4 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SCHEDULING FILING OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS,		