

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 TERESA DIVITA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ARTHUR COURT DESIGNS, INC. and  
15 DOES 1 THROUGH 20,

16 Defendant.  
17

Case No. 3:2011-cv-02497-MEJ

**STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
[FRCP 41(a)(1)]**

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action through their  
19 designated counsel that the above-captioned action be and hereby is dismissed in its entirety with  
20 prejudice pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) with respect to all parties.  
21

22 DATED: Nov 7, 2011

LAW OFFICE OF PAMELA PITT

23  
24 By: 

PAMELA PITT, ESQ.  
MARIA BOURN, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiff TERESA DIVITA

25  
26  
27 ///


28 -1-

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE  
(Case No. 3:2011-cv-02497-MEJ)

3849617.1


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: 11-28-2011

By:   
HANSON BRIDGETT LLP  
DOROTHY S. LIU, ESQ.  
JENICA D. MARIANI, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ARTHUR COURT DESIGNS, INC.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 29, 2011,

  
The Honorable Maria-Elena James  
MAJESTRATE JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT