1	
2	
3	
4	
5 6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	LISA GARVEY, individually and on No. C 11-02575 WHA
11	behalf of others similarly situated,
12	Plaintiff, NOTICE RE STATEMENT
13	v. REGARDING JURY DEMAND
14	KMART CORPORATION,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	A prior order inquired about plaintiff's untimely demand for a jury trial and whether
18	plaintiff was still making such a demand. Plaintiff responds that she is no longer demanding a
19	jury trial.
20	The prior order was <i>not</i> an invitation for defendant to make its own untimely motion for a
21	jury trial. Nonetheless, defendant now seeks to file a motion for a jury trial. This is untimely by
22	more than a year. Defendant may file an administrative motion to shorten the briefing schedule,
23	but good cause must be shown for its year-long delay.
24	
25	Im Man
26	Dated: October 25, 2012. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California