T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc. v AU Optronics Corporation, et al

Doc. 108

Chi Mei Corporation ("CMC"), Chimei Innolux Corporation ("CMI"), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc. ("CMO-USA"), CMO Japan Co., Ltd. ("CMO-Japan"), Nexgen Mediatech, Inc. ("NMT"), and Nexgen Mediatech USA ("NMT-USA"), (collectively, the "Chimei Entities," or each separately, a "Chimei Entity"), by their undersigned attorneys hereby respond to the allegations contained in the numbered paragraphs of the First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") of T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "T-Mobile"). The Chimei Entities deny all allegations contained in the Complaint, including headings and captions, not specifically admitted.

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Each Chimei Entity denies denies the allegations in paragraph 1 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 2. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 2 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. The Chimei Entities further deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 2 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 3. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 3 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. The Chimei Entities further deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 3 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 4. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 4 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 4 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 5. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 5 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. The Chimei entities further deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 5 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 6. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 6 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity, except that the Chimei Entities admit that CMO-USA and NMT-USA maintained

offices in California. The Chimei entities further deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 6 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 7. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 7 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. The Chimei entities further deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 7 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
 - 8. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 8.
- 9. Paragraph 9 consists of Plaintiff's characterization of its own claims, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 9 and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 9 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. Paragraph 10 consists of Plaintiff's characterization of its own claims, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 10.
- 11. Paragraph 11 consists of Plaintiff's characterization of its own claims, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 11.
- 12. Paragraph 12 consists of legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 12.
- 13. To the extent paragraph 13 consists of legal conclusions, no response is required. Each Chimei Entity denies all such allegations. Each Chimei Entity denies the factual allegations in paragraph 13 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity, except CMC, CMO, CMO-Japan and NMT admit that they each operated outside the United States during the purported "Conspiracy Period," and CMO-USA and NMT-USA admit that they have maintained offices within the Northern District of California. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 13 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 14. Paragraph 14 consists of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 14.

- 15. Paragraph 15 consists of legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 15 except admits that *In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. M:07-cv-1827 SI is pending in this District with Judge Susan Illston presiding.
- 16. Paragraph 16 consists of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 16.

III. DEFINITIONS

- 17. The final sentence of paragraph 17 consists of Plaintiff's explanation of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in that sentence except admits that "LCD" is an acronym for "liquid crystal display." Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 17 as overly simplistic and generic, except admits that they generally describe some basic aspects of the nature, technology and means of manufacturing LCD panels, modules and products containing LCD panels.
- 18. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 18 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 18 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 19. Paragraph 19 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 19.
- 20. Paragraph 20 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 20.
- 21. Paragraph 21 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 21.

IV. THE PARTIES

A. Plaintiff T-Mobile

- 22. Each Chimei Entity denies the first three sentences of paragraph 22 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. Each Chimei Entity denies final sentence of paragraph 22.
- 23. Each Chimei Entity denies the referenced companies were injured in their business or property and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 23 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 24. Each Chimei Entity denies that T-Mobile has "suffered injury" and denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 24 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 25. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 25 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 26. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 26 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 27. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 27 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 27 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 28. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 28 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

B. Defendants

1. <u>AU Optronics</u>

- 29. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 29 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 30. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 30 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 31. The first sentence of paragraph 31 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is

required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 31. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 31 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

2. Chi Mei

- 32. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 32, except that CMC admits it is a Taiwanese corporation with its headquarters located at the address alleged.
- 33. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 33, except admits that the headquarters of CMI is located at the address alleged; that the headquarters of CMO and Innolux Display Corporation were located at the addresses alleged; that CMI is the surviving corporation of the three-way merger between CMO, Innolux Display Corporation and TPO Displays Corporation; that CMO manufactured and sold TFT-LCD panels and a limited volume of products containing TFT-LCD panels in certain years during the alleged "Conspiracy Period"; that Innolux Display Corporation manufactured and sold products containing TFT-LCD panels and sold a very limited volume of TFT-LCD panels in certain years during the alleged "Conspiracy Period"; and that TPO Displays Corporation manufactured and sold a very limited number of TFT-LCD panels in certain years during the alleged "Conspiracy Period."
- 34. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 34, except CMO-USA admits that its corporate headquarters are located at the address alleged; that CMO-USA was formerly known as International Display Technology USA, Inc.; and that CMO-USA resold a limited volume of TFT-LCD panels in certain years during the "Conspiracy Period."
- 35. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 35, except CMO-Japan admits that its headquarters are located at the address alleged, that CMO-Japan was formerly known as International Display Technology, Ltd., and that CMO-Japan manufactured and sold TFT-LCD panels in certain years during the alleged "Conspiracy Period."
- 36. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 36, except NMT admits that its principal place of business is located at the address alleged; that CMC is a shareholder of NMT; and that CMO sold TFT-LCD panels and a limited volume of products containing TFT-LCD panets to NMT in certain years during the alleged "Conspiracy Period."

- 37. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 37, except NMT-USA admits that its principal place of business is located at the address alleged; and that NMT-USA, which was formed only in 2006, resold a very limited volume of products containing TFT-LCD panels during the final year of the alleged "Conspiracy Period."
- 38. The first sentence of paragraph 38 consists of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies that any legal basis exists for Plaintiff's grouping together of CMI, CMC, CMO-Japan, CMO-USA, NMT, and NMT-USA under the collective designation "Chi Mei." Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 38 in all other respects.

3. Chunghwa

- 39. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 39 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 40. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 40 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 41. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 41 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 42. The first sentence of paragraph 42 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 42. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 42 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 43. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 43 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

4. Epson

- 44. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 44 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 45. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 45 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 46. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 46 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 47. The first sentence of paragraph 47 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 47. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 47 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

5. Hannstar

48. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 48 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

6. Hitachi

- 49. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 49 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 50. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 50 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 51. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 51 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 52. Paragraph 52 consists of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 52.

7. <u>LG Display</u>

- 53. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 53 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 54. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 54 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth
- 55. The first sentence of paragraph 55 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 55. Each

- 66. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 66 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 67. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 67 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 68. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 68 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 69. The first sentence of paragraph 69 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 69. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 69 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

10. Sanyo

- 70. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 70 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 71. The first sentence of paragraph 71 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 71. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 71 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

11. Sharp

- 72. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 72 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 73. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 73 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 74. The first sentence of paragraph 74 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 74. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 74 for lack of knowledge or

- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 75 for lack of knowledge or
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 76 for lack of knowledge or
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 77 for lack of knowledge or
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 78 for lack of knowledge or
- The first sentence of paragraph 79 consists only of Plaintiff's characterizations and explanations of terminology, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 79. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 79 for lack of knowledge or
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 80 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 80 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 81 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 81 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 82 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 82 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 83 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 83 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

84. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 84 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 84 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

V. THE MARKET FOR LCD PANELS AND LCD PRODUCTS

- 85. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 85, but admits that different types of LCD panels are incorporated in many products, including computer monitors, televisions, and mobile telephones.
 - 86. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 86.
- 87. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 87 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
 - 88. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 88.
 - 89. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 89.
 - 90. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 90.
 - 91. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 91.
 - 92. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 92.
- 93. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 93 except that each Chimei Entity generally admits that efficient fabs are costly to build and that research and development costs can be substantial.
 - 94. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 94.
- 95. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 95 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 96. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity, except CMI admits that CMO entered into certain licensing arrangements for limited periods of time with certain other entities. Each Chimei entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 96 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 97. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 97 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise the allegations in paragraph 97 for lack of knowledge or

Chimei Entity	denies the	e allegations	in paragraph	106 to the	e extent	they	are

directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 106 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 107. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 107 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 108. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 108 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 109. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 109 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 109 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 110. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 110 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 110 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 111. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 111 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 112. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 112 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 112 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 113. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 113 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 113 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 114. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 114 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 114 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 115. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 115 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 115 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
 - 116. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 116 to the extent they are

directed to Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 116 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.that

- 117. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 117 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 117 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 118. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 118 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 118 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 119. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 119 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 119 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 120. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 120 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 120 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 121. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 121 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 121 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 122. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 122 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 122 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 123. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 123 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 123 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 124. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 124 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 125. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 125 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 126. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 126 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 127. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 127 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 127 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 128. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 128 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 128 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 129. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 129 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 129 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 130. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 130.
- 131. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 131 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 131 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 132. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 132 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 132 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 133. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 133 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 133 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 134. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 134 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 134 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 135. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 135 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 135 for lack of

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 136. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 136 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 136 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 137. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 137 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 137 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 138. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 138 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 138 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 139. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 139 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 139 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 140. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 140 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 140 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 141. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 141 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 141 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 142. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 142 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 142 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 143. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 143 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 143 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 144. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 144 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 144 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 145. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 145 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 145 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 146. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 146 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 146 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 147. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 147 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 147 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 148. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 148 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 148 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 149. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 149 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 149 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 150. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 150 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 150 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 151. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 151 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 151 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 152. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 152 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 153. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 153 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 153 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 154. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 154 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 154 for lack of

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 155. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 155 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 155 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 156. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 156 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 156 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

2. Defendants engaged in illegal communications about pricing in the U.S.

- 157. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 157 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies all other allegations in paragraph 157 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. To the extent that paragraph 157 purports to paraphrase testimony of "James Yang of Chi Mei," the alleged statement is incomplete and presented out of context, and each Chi Mei Entity further denies the allegations in paragraph 157 on that basis.
- 158. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 158 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 158 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 159. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 159 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 159 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 160. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 160 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 160 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

3. <u>Defendants engaged in illegal communications about pricing with respect to small panels</u>

161. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 161 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 161 for lack of

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

162. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 162 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 162 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. To the extent that the second bullet in paragraph 162 refers to a discussion regarding the market for small and medium sized panels between "Chi Mei" and another defendant, the reference is incomplete and presented out of context, and each Chimei Entity further denies the allegations in paragraph 162 on that basis.

B. Defendants' Participation in the Conspiracy in California

- 163. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 163 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity, except CMO-USA and NMT-USA admit that they have maintained offices in California. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 163 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 164. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 164 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 164 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 165. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 165 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 166. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 166 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 166 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 167. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 167 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 167 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 168. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 168 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 168 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

169.	Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 169 for lack of knowledge
or information	sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 170 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 170 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 171 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 171 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 172 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 172 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 173 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

Defendants Have Been Charged With and Have Pleaded Guilty to Fixing the Price of LCD Panels and LCD Products Sold in the U.S.

- Each Chimei Entity admits that various government authorities have investigated LCD manufacturers at various points in time, but otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 174 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 175 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 176 for lack of knowledge
- Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 177 to the extent they are directed to
- Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 178 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
 - Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 179 for lack of knowledge

directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 202 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 203. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 203 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 203 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 204. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 204 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity, except CMO admits that it publicly reported revenue of about NT\$8.8 billion in the first quarter of 2002. Each Chimei Entity refers to the reports for their full context. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 204 directed to the conduct of other defendants for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 205. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 205 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 205 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

E. The Conspiracy Extended to Earlier LCD Technologies

- 206. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 206 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 207. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 207 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 207 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

1. <u>Defendants' Bilateral Communications Regarding STN-LCD Panels</u>

- 208. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 208 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 208 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 209. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 209 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 210. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 210 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
 - 211. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 211 to the extent they are

directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 211 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 212. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 212 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 213. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 213 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 213 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 214. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 214 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 214 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 215. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 215 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 216. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 216 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 216 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 217. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 217 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 218. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 218 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 218 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 219. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 219 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 219 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 220. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 220 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 221. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 221 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 221 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

222. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 222 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 222 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

2. The Structure of the LCD Panel Market Facilitated the Inflation of Prices of STN-LCD Panels As Well As TFT-LCD Panels

- 223. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 223 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 224. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 224 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 225. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 225 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 225 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 226. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 226 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 227. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 227 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 227 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 228. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 228 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 228 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 229. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 229 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 229 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 230. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 230 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 230 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

F. The Role of Trade Associations During the Conspiracy Period

231. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 231 to the extent directed to

that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 231 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, except admits that several trade organizations exist and convene meetings.

- 232. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 232 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 232 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, except admits that CMO has been a member of the Taiwan TFT-LCD Association.
- 233. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 233 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 234. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 234 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, except admits the existence of the Semiconductor Equipment Association of Japan.
- 235. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 235 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 235 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, except admits the existence of the Society for Information Display.
- 236. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 236 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 236 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 237. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 237 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 237 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 238. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 238 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 238 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 239. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 239 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 239 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 240. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 240 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 240 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 241. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 241 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 241 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 242. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 242 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 242 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 243. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 243 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 244. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 244 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 244 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

G. The Conspiracy's Effect on U.S. Commerce

- 245. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 245 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 245 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 246. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 246 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity, except CMO and CMO-Japan admit that they shipped a limited number of TFT-LCD panels to the United States during the alleged "Conspiracy Period," and CMO-USA and NMT-USA admit that they have maintained offices in the United States. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 246 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 247. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 247 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity, except CMO and CMO-Japan admit that they shipped a limited number of

TFT-LCD panels to the United States during the alleged "Conspiracy Period." Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 247 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 248. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 248 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 248 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 249. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 249 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 249 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 250. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 250 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 250 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 251. Each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 251 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies all other allegations in paragraph 251 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 252. Paragraph 252 consists of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein and otherwise denies the allegations of paragraph 252 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 252 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

VII. <u>PLAINTIFF'S INJURIES</u>

- 253. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 253.
- 254. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 254 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 254 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 255. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 255 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 256. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 256 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 256 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 257. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 257 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 257 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 258. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 258 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 258 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 259. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 259 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 259 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

VIII. FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT, EQUITABLE TOLLING, AND CONTINUING TORT DOCTRINE

- 260. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 260 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 260 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 261. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 261 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 261 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 262. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 262 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 262 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 263. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 263 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 263 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 264. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 264 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 264 for lack of knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 265. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 265 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 266. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 266 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 266 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 267. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 267 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and further denies the allegations in paragraph 267 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 268. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 268 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 268 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 269. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 269 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 269 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 270. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 270 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 271. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 271 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 271 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 272. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 272 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity. To the extent paragraph 272 purports to quote a vice-president at "Chi Mei," the allegations are presented out of context and are further denied on that basis. Each Chimei Entity denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 272 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 273. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 273 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 273 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

286. Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 286.

Second Claim for Relief

(Violation of the State Antitrust and Unfair Competition Laws)

- 287. Each Chimei Entity incorporates by reference and restates the response to each of the preceding paragraphs as set forth above.
- 288. Paragraph 288 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and states a legal conclusion. For both reasons, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 288 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity.
- 289. Paragraph 289 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 289 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 290. Paragraph 290 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and states a legal conclusion. For both reasons, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 290.
- 291. Paragraph 291 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 291 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 291 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 292. Paragraph 292 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and states legal conclusions. For both reasons, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity refers to CMO's plea agreement and the statements contained therein, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 292 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity. Each Chimei Entity otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 292 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 293. Paragraph 293 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 293 to the extent they are directed to that Chimei Entity and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 293 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 294. Paragraph 294 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 294 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity.
- 295. Paragraph 295 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 295 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity.
- 296. Paragraph 296 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 296.
- 297. Paragraph 297 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 297 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity.
- 298. Paragraph 298 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and states legal conclusions. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 298 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity.
- 299. Paragraph 299 relates to a claim which has been dismissed and states legal conclusions. To the extent a response is required, each Chimei Entity denies the allegations in paragraph 290 to the extent directed to that Chimei Entity.

ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

Without assuming any burden it would not otherwise bear, each Chimei Entity asserts the following additional defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint:

First Additional Defense

Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

1	Second Additional Defense
2	Applicable statutes of limitations, including without limitation the Clayton Act § 4B (15
3	U.S.C. § 15b), bar Plaintiff's claims.
4	Third Additional Defense
5	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because this Court lacks subject-matter
6	jurisdiction to adjudicate such claims.
7	Fourth Additional Defense
8	Plaintiff has failed to allege fraud or fraudulent concealment with the particularity required
9	by Rule 9(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
10	<u>Fifth Additional Defense</u>
11	The Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6a, bars Plaintiff's claims.
12	Sixth Additional Defense
13	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent Plaintiff lacks standing to
14	bring or maintain the claims set forth in the Complaint.
15	Seventh Additional Defense
16	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that it did not purchase TFT-
17	LCD panels directly from defendants.
18	Eighth Additional Defense
19	Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent it has not suffered antitrust injury.
20	Ninth Additional Defense
21	Plaintiff is barred from the recovery of damages, if any, because any damages were caused
22	by Plaintiff's own actions, and by Plaintiff's failure to mitigate its damages.
23	Tenth Additional Defense
24	Plaintiff's claims are barred because the alleged damages, if any, are speculative and
25	because of the impossibility of ascertaining and allocating those alleged damages.
26	Eleventh Additional Defense
27	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because it has not been injured in its
28	business or property by reason of any action of any Chimei Entity.

1	<u>Twelfth Additional Defense</u>			
2	Any injuries or damages Plaintiff may have suffered were caused solely and proximately			
3	by the acts and/or omissions of others, including, without limitation, the prior, intervening, or			
4	superseding conduct of such third parties.			
5	Thirteenth Additional Defense			
6	Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff			
7	failed to make the requisite showing of threatened future harm or continuing harm.			
8	Fourteenth Additional Defense			
9	Plaintiff's claims for an injunction or other equitable relief are barred, in whole or in part,			
10	because Plaintiff has available an adequate remedy at law.			
11	<u>Fifteenth Additional Defense</u>			
12	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's failure to exercise due			
13	diligence to uncover any alleged conspiracy.			
14	Sixteenth Additional Defense			
15	Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of waiver, estoppel,			
16	unclean hands, and/or laches.			
17	Seventeenth Additional Defense			
18	To the extent that any actionable conduct occurred, Plaintiff's claims are barred because all			
19	such conduct would have been committed by individuals acting ultra vires.			
20	Eighteenth Additional Defense			
21	Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that they or their assignors have agreed to			
22	arbitration or agreed to a different forum for the resolution of their claims. Each of the Chimei			
23	Entities specifically reserves all rights under such agreements, whether as a party to such			
24	agreements, under principles of equitable estoppels, or otherwise.			
25	Nineteenth Additional Defense			
26	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by reason of Plaintiff's ratification of,			
27	acquiescence in, agreement, or consent to the alleged conduct of each Chimei Entity.			
28				

- 1	
1	<u>Twentieth Additional Defense</u>
2	Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent they are barred, in whole or in part,
3	because the Chimei Entities' actions did not lessen competition in the relevant market.
4	<u>Twenty-First Additional Defense</u>
5	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff has failed to allege that
6	any Chimei Entity possessed or possesses market power in any legally cognizable relevant market.
7	Twenty-Second Additional Defense
8	Plaintiff's claims for an illegal overcharge are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that
9	such overcharge, the existence of which the Chimei Entities expressly deny, was absorbed, in
10	whole or in part, by others, and was not passed through to Plaintiff.
11	Twenty-Third Additional Defense
12	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff would be unjustly
13	enriched if it were allowed to recover any part of the damages alleged in the Complaint.
14	Twenty-Fourth Additional Defense
15	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent the injuries alleged in the
16	Complaint, the fact and extent of which are expressly denied by the Chimei Entities, were directly
17	and proximately caused by or contributed to by the statements, acts, or omissions of Plaintiff or
18	third persons or entities unaffiliated with the Chimei Entities.
19	Twenty-Fifth Additional Defense
20	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of res judicata and/or
21	collateral estoppel.
22	Twenty-Sixth Additional Defense
23	Plaintiff's claims are improperly joined within the meaning of Rule 20 of the Federal Rules
24	of Civil Procedure, because they did not arise out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of
25	transactions or occurrences and/or do not involve questions of law or fact common to all
26	defendants.
27	

- 1	
1	Twenty-Seventh Additional Defense
2	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of accord and satisfaction
3	and/or release and settlement.
4	Twenty-Eighth Additional Defense
5	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by reason of claim splitting and by the
6	doctrine of election of remedies.
7	Twenty-Ninth Additional Defense
8	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, insofar as the alleged conduct of each
9	Chimei Entity was caused by, due to, based upon, or in response to directives, laws, regulations,
10	policies, and/or acts of governments, governmental agencies and entities, and/or regulatory
11	agencies and, as such, is non-actionable or privileged.
12	Thirtieth Additional Defense
13	Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part,
14	for failure to join indispensable parties.
15	Thirty-First Additional Defense
16	Plaintiff's claims, to the extent that they are based on alleged contracts, are barred, in
17	whole or in part, because such alleged contracts lacked consideration.
18	Thirty-Second Additional Defense
19	Plaintiff's claims, to the extent that they are based on alleged contracts, are barred, in
20	whole or in part, by operation of the Statute of Frauds.
21	Thirty-Third Additional Defense
22	Plaintiff's claims, to the extent that they are based on alleged contracts, are barred, in
23	whole or in part, insofar as the alleged contracts resulted from a mutual mistake.
24	Thirty-Fourth Additional Defense
25	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any actions or practices of any
26	Chimei Entity that are the subject of the Complaint were not a product of any contract,
27	combination, or conspiracy between any Chimei Entity and any other person or entity.
၁၀	

1	Dated: March 5, 2012	Respectfully submitted,
2		SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
3		
4		By /s/ James G. Kreissman
5		James G. Kreissman (SBN 206740)
6		James G. Kreissman (SBN 206740)
7		Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822) Jason M. Bussey (SBN 227185)
8		Arka D. Chatterjee (SBN 268546) Melissa M. Derr (SBN 266487)
9		2550 Hanover Street
10		Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 251-5000
11		Facsimile: (650) 251-5002
12		jkreissman@stblaw.com
13		Attorneys for Defendants Chi Mei Corporation, Chimei Innolux Corporation,
14		Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Nexgen Mediatech, Inc., and Nexgen Mediatech
15		USA, Inc.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		40