

1 Kathryn Parsons Hoek (CA Bar No. 219247)
 2 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
 3 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 950
 4 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029
 Telephone: (310) 310-3100
 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
 E-Mail: khoek@susmangodfrey.com

5
 6 Parker C. Folse (*pro hac vice*)
 Brooke A. M. Taylor (*pro hac vice*)
 7 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
 8 1201 Third Ave., Suite 3800
 Seattle, WA 98101
 Telephone: (206) 516-3880
 9 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883
 E-Mail: pfolse@susmangodfrey.com
 10 btaylor@susmangodfrey.com

Edward A. Friedman (*pro hac vice*)
 Daniel B. Rapport (*pro hac vice*)
 Hallie B. Levin (*pro hac vice*)
 Jason C. Rubinstein (*pro hac vice*)
 FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER &
 ADELMAN LLP
 7 Times Square
 New York, NY 10036-6516
 Telephone: (212) 833-1100
 Facsimile: (212) 833-1250
 E-Mail: efriedman@fklaw.com
 drapport@fklaw.com
 hlevin@fklaw.com
 jrubinstein@fklaw.com

11 *Counsel for Plaintiff T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc.*

12
 13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
 16 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. C M:07-01827 SI
 Individual Case No. C 3:11-02591 SI
 MDL NO. 1827

17 This Document Relates to

18 Case C 3:11-02591 SI

19 T-MOBILE U.S.A., INC.,
 20
 21 Plaintiff,
 22 v.
 23 AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,
 24 Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFF T-MOBILE U.S.A., INC.’S
 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF
 THE COURT’S ORDER DISMISSING
 ITS CARTWRIGHT ACT, UNFAIR
 COMPETITION LAW, AND DONNELLY
 ACT CLAIMS**

25
 26
 27 Master File No. C M:07-01827 SI
 Individual Case No. C 3:11-02591 SI
 28 MDL No. 1827

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF
 T-MOBILE’S U.S.A., INC.’S MOTION FOR
 RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT’S ORDER
 DISMISSING ITS CARTWRIGHT ACT, UNFAIR
 COMPETITION LAW, AND DONNELLY ACT CLAIMS

1 Upon consideration of Plaintiff T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration of
2 the Court's Order Dismissing its Cartwright Act, Unfair Competition Law, and Donnelly Act
3 Claims, all papers filed in support of and in opposition to said motion, and the arguments of
4 counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

5 Pursuant to Rule 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 7-9 of the Northern
6 District of California Local Rules, Plaintiff T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration
7 of the Court's Order Dismissing its Cartwright Act, Unfair Competition Law, and Donnelly Act
8 Claims is GRANTED in its entirety, and all of Plaintiff T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc.'s claims under the
9 Cartwright Act, California Unfair Competition Law, and New York Donnelly Act are hereby
10 reinstated.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 DATED: _____

14 Hon. Susan Y. Illston
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26