1 2	David Orozco (220732) SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950	
3	Los Angeles, California 90067-6029 Telephone: (310) 789-3100 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150	
4	Facsimile: (310) 789-3150 Email: dorozco@susmangodfrey.com	1
5	Edward A. Friedman (pro hac vice)	
6	Daniel B. Rapport (pro hac vice) Hallie B. Levin (pro hac vice) FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER & ADEL	
7	7 Timog Causes	MAN LLP
8	New York, NY 10036-6516 Telephone: (212) 833-1100 Facsimile: (212) 833-1250 Email: efriedman@fklaw.com	
9	Email: (212) 833-1230 Email: efriedman@fklaw.com drapport@fklaw.com	
10	hlevin@fklaw.com	
11	Counsel for Plaintiff T-Mobile USA. Inc.	
12	Counsel for Plaintiff T-Mobile USA, Inc. (See Signature Page for Names and Addres of Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs)	sses
13	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISO	CO DIVISION
16	IN DE TET I CD (ELAT DANEL)	I Master Daglast No. C M.07 01027 CI
17	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master Docket No. C M:07-01827 SI Individual Case No. C 3:11-02591 SI
18	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	DECLARATION OF BROOKE A.
19		M. TAYLOR IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
20	T-MOBILE U.S.A., I.N.C.,	ORDER AUTHORIZING PLAINTIFF TO SERVE
21	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD. AND
22	V.	TATUNG COMPANY THROUGH THEIR U.S. COUNSEL
23	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, ET AL.	PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 4(f)(3)
24	Defendants.	
25		Date: August 12, 2011
2627		Time: 9:00 a.m. Ct. Room: No. 10 Honorable Susan Illston
28		
20		

I, Brooke A. M. Taylor, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Washington and have been admitted to practice pro hac vice before the bar of this Court. I am a partner in Susman Godfrey LLP, counsel of record for T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile"), in the above captioned action pending in this Court.
- 2. I submit this declaration to set forth facts in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Order Authorizing Plaintiff to Serve Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. And Tatung Company Through Their U.S. Counsel Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3), filed concurrently herewith. Unless otherwise stated below, the matters stated herein are true to my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 3. On April 18, 2011, T-Mobile filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington a Complaint for Damages naming multiple defendants, including Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. ("Chunghwa") and Tatung Company ("Tatung"). The T-Mobile Complaint alleges violation of federal and state antitrust laws. On May 31, 2011, T-Mobile's individual action was transferred to this Court pursuant to Rule7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation and 28 U.S.C. § 1407.
- 4. In an effort to serve all defendants, including Chunghwa and Tatung, in a timely and cost-effective manner, in April 2011, T-Mobile requested that all defendants waive service of a summons and the Complaint. The overwhelming majority of defendants agreed to do so, but Chunghwa and Tatung did not. A Stipulation to this effect was filed with the Court and entered on June 23, 2011. (Dkt. 25). In response to Plaintiff's request that Chunghwa and Tatung waive service of a summons and the Complaint, on or about, May 26, 2011, Chunghwa and Tatung's 's U.S. counsel indicated in a phone call that it is not authorized to accept service of the complaint on behalf of Chunghaw or Tatung.
- 5. Based on a review of the docket in this matter, Chunghwa has participated in the LCD Flat Panel MDL Proceeding through domestic counsel in the United States, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP ("Gibson Dunn"), since January 9, 2009. Since that time, Gibson Dunn has repeatedly appeared as counsel for Chunghwa in the LCD Flat Panel MDL Proceeding to which the T-Mobile action is related. Gibson Dunn has answered complaints, provided declarations, petitioned the Court for an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to complaints, joined defendants' original motion in opposition to ATS Claims, Inc.'s Motion to Serve Defendants