

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

David Orozco (CA Bar No. 220732)
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 950
Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029
Telephone: (310) 310-3100
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
E-Mail: dorozco@susmangodfrey.com

Parker C. Folse (*pro hac vice*)
Brooke A. M. Taylor (*pro hac vice*)
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 516-3880
Facsimile: (206) 516-3883
E-Mail: pfolse@susmangodfrey.com
btaylor@susmangodfrey.com

Edward A. Friedman (*pro hac vice*)
Daniel B. Rapport (*pro hac vice*)
Hallie B. Levin (*pro hac vice*)
Jason C. Rubinstein (*pro hac vice*)
FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER &
ADELMAN LLP
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036-6516
Telephone: (212) 833-1100
Facsimile: (212) 833-1250
E-Mail: efriedman@fklaw.com
drapport@fklaw.com
hlevin@fklaw.com
jrubinstein@fklaw.com

Counsel for T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. C M:07-01827 SI
Individual Case No. C 3:11-02591 SI
MDL NO. 1827

This Document Relates to
Case C 3:11-02591 SI

T-MOBILE U.S.A., INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF T-MOBILE U.S.A.,
INC.’S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
FILE UNDER SEAL ITS
OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG SDI
CO., LTD. AND SAMSUNG SDI
AMERICA, INC.’S MOTION TO
DISMISS T-MOBILE’S CLAIMS
PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA’S
CARTWRIGHT ACT AND UNFAIR
COMPETITION LAW**

[Civil Local Rule 79-5]

Master File No. C M:07-01827 SI
Individual Case No. C 3:11-02591 SI
MDL NO. 1827

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG SDI’S
MOTION TO DISMISS T-MOBILE’S CLAIMS.

1 Having considered the application regarding whether a certain document Plaintiff
2 T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc. (“T-Mobile”) lodged with the Court should be filed under seal pursuant to
3 Civil Local Rules 79-5 and 7-10 and the Court’s Standing Order, and good cause appearing, the
4 application is hereby GRANTED.

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that T-Mobile’s Redacted Opposition to Defendants
6 Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.’s and Samsung SDI America, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss T-Mobile’s
7 Claims Pursuant to California’s Cartwright Act and Unfair Competition Law (“Opposition
8 Brief”) has been redacted and publicly filed. An unredacted copy of T-Mobile’s Opposition
9 Brief shall remain under seal.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, to maintain the effect of the seal under Civil
11 Local Rule 79-5, the parties, their counsel, and their declarants shall not publicly disseminate or
12 discuss any of the sealed documents or their contents absent further order of the Court.

13 **SO ORDERED:**

14
15 Dated: _____

16 SUSAN ILLSTON
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27