1	JEFFREY M. DAVIDSON (State Bar No. 24	18620)
2	E-Mail: jdavidson@ cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
3	One Front Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, California 94111	
4	Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091	
5	TIMOTHY C. HESTER	
	E-Mail: thester@cov.com	
6	ROBERT D. WICK E-Mail: rwick@cov.com	
/	DEREK LUDWIN E-Mail: dludwin@cov.com	
8	THEODORE P. METZLER E-Mail: tmetzler@cov.com	
9	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
10	Washington, D.C. 20004	
11	Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG	
12	SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
17	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)	
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
19	This Document Relates To:	MDL No. 1827
20	Case No. C 3:11-02591 SI	
21	T-MOBILE U.S.A., INC.,	ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
22	Plaintiff,	SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
23	V.	AMERICA, INC. TO T-MOBILE'S AMENDED COMPLAINT
24	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.	
25	Defendants.	
26	Detenuants.	
27		
28		

Defendants Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd. ("SEC"), Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. ("SSI"), and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA") (collectively "Samsung"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, answer the Amended Complaint ("Complaint") of T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc. ("T-Mobile" or "Plaintiff") and allege additional or affirmative defenses as follows. Samsung denies each and every allegation in the Complaint's section headings and in all portions of the Complaint not contained in numbered paragraphs. To the extent that the Complaint's allegations concern persons and/or entities other than Samsung, Samsung denies that such allegations support any claim for relief against Samsung. To the extent that the Complaint's allegations refer to claims dismissed by the Court in its February 6, 2012 Order granting Defendants' Joint Motion to Dismiss ("Feb. 6 Order"), Samsung avers that no response is necessary as such claims and their underlying allegations no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response to such allegations is necessary, Samsung denies each dismissed claim and its underlying allegations.

ANSWER

I. Introduction

- 1. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 1, and therefore denies them.
- 2. Samsung admits that during at least some portion of the period alleged, its representatives attended meetings or had communication with one or more defendants concerning pricing for TFT-LCD panels. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 2 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 2 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 3. Samsung admits that hand-held devices could include different technologies during at least part of the time alleged and that Plaintiff purports to use the abbreviations in Paragraph 3 in the manner described. Samsung further admits that during at least some portion of the period alleged, its representatives attended meetings or had communication with one or

more defendants concerning pricing for TFT-LCD panels. Samsung also admits that when H.B. Suh was asked during his deposition "When you spoke with competitors about pricing of mobile display panels, did those discussions include, from time to time, TFD, TFT, and color STN?" he responded "Sometimes, yes." To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 are definitional, Samsung avers that no response is required. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 require a response and relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 require a response and relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 4. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the first two sentences of Paragraph 4, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 5. Samsung admits that LG Display Co. Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Sharp Corporation, Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., Epson Imaging Devices Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, and HannStar Display Corporation have entered plea agreements, the terms of which are matters of public record. With the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 5, and therefore denies them.
- 6. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 6 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that, during at least part of the period alleged, Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. maintained offices and operations in California. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung further admits that LG Display Co. Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Sharp Corporation, Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., and Epson Imaging Devices Corporation have entered plea agreements, the

but otherw

terms of which are matters of public record. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.

- 7. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 7 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that during at least some portion of the period alleged, some of its employees attended meetings or had communication with one or more defendants concerning pricing for TFT-LCD panels. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 8. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 8 relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 8 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 9. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 9 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to invoke the cited statutory provisions, but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.

II. Allegations Concerning Jurisdiction And Venue

10. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to invoke the cited statutory provisions, but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 10.

- 11. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 11 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to invoke the cited statutory provisions, but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.
- 12. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 12 regarding jurisdiction constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 12 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint.
- 13. Samsung admits that Samsung Semiconductor Inc. ("SSI") maintains its headquarters in California. Samsung avers that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 13 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response to the allegations of Paragraph 13 is required and to the extent that any of the allegations of Paragraph 13 relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that a response to the allegations of Paragraph 13 is required and to the extent that any of the allegations of Paragraph 13 relate to Samsung, Samsung admits that SEC manufactured and through its subsidiaries manufactured, marketed, sold, and/or distributed TFT-LCD panels in the United States and other parts of the world during at least part of the period alleged. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 9 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 14. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 14 regarding venue constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 14 insofar as they relate to Samsung. To the extent that a response is required and insofar as the allegations of Paragraph

14 relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 15. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 15 regarding the grounds for relating this case to MDL No. 1827 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 15 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that the case has been consolidated with 3:07-MD-1827 SI for pretrial proceedings, but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 15.
- 16. Samsung admits that the case has been consolidated with 3:07-MD-1827 SI for pretrial proceedings, and otherwise avers that the allegations of Paragraph 16 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required.

III. Plaintiff's Definitions

- 17. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 17 are definitional, Samsung avers that no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies all of the allegations of Paragraph 17, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein as follows. Samsung admits that LCD is a type of display technology that may be incorporated into finished electronic devices, including mobile wireless handsets, and that Plaintiff purports to use the term "LCD Panel" as described in Paragraph 17.
- 18. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 18 are definitional, Samsung avers that no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies all of the allegations of Paragraph 18, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein as follows. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to use the abbreviations in Paragraph 18 in the manner described.
- 19. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 19 are definitional, Samsung avers that no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies all of the allegations of Paragraph 19, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein as

follows. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to use the phrase "LCD Products" to mean products that contain LCD Panels.

- 20. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 20 are definitional, Samsung avers that no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to use the term "OEM" to refer to an original equipment manufacturer of an LCD Product.
- 21. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 21 are definitional, Samsung avers that no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to use the term "Conspiracy Period" in the manner described in Paragraph 21.

IV. Allegations Concerning The Parties

- 22. Samsung admits that, during at least part of the period alleged, T-Mobile purchased mobile wireless handsets from SEC or its subsidiaries. Samsung denies the allegations contained in the last sentence of Paragraph 22. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22 relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 23. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to use the name "T-Mobile" in the manner described in Paragraph 23. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 23 relate to Plaintiff or to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 23 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 24. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 24, and therefore denies them.

 Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 24.
- 25. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 25 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent a response is required, Samsung lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 25, and therefore denies them.

- 26. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 26 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent the allegations of Paragraph 26 relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 26 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
 - 27. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 27.
- 28. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 28 relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 28 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 29. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 29, and therefore denies them.
- 30. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 30, and therefore denies them.
- 31. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to AU Optronics Corporation and AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc. collectively as "AU Optronics." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 31, and therefore denies them.
- 32. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 32, and therefore denies them.
- 33. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 33, and therefore denies them.
- 34. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 34, and therefore denies them.

- 35. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 35, and therefore denies them.
- 36. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Nexgen Mediatech, Inc. as "Nexgen." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 36, and therefore denies them.
- 37. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc. as "Nexgen USA." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 37, and therefore denies them.
- 38. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Chi Mei Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Nexgen, and Nexgen USA collectively as "Chi Mei." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 38, and therefore denies them.
- 39. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd. as "CPT." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 39, and therefore denies them.
- 40. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 40, and therefore denies them.
- 41. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Tatung Company of America, Inc. as "Tatung America." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 41, and therefore denies them.
- 42. Samsung admits that the Plaintiff purports to refer to CPT, Tatung Company, and Tatung America collectively as "Chunghwa." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 42, and therefore denies them.
- 43. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 43, and therefore denies them.

- 44. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Seiko Epson Corporation as "Seiko Epson." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 44, and therefore denies them.
- 45. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Epson Imaging Devices

 Corporation as "Epson Japan." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
 belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 45, and therefore denies them.
- 46. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Epson Electronics America, Inc. as "Epson America." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 46, and therefore denies them.
- 47. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Seiko Epson, Epson Japan, and Epson America collectively as "Epson." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 47, and therefore denies them.
- 48. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to HannStar Display Corporation as "HannStar." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 48, and therefore denies them.
- 49. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 49, and therefore denies them.
- 50. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 50, and therefore denies them.
- 51. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 51, and therefore denies them.
- 52. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Hitachi Displays Ltd., Hitachi America Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. as "Hitachi." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 52, and therefore denies them.
- 53. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 53, and therefore denies them.

- 54. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 54, and therefore denies them.
- 55. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc. collectively as "LG Display." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 55, and therefore denies them.
- 56. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Philips Electronics North America Corporation as "Philips." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 56, and therefore denies them.
- 57. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Philips Mobile Display Systems as "Philips Mobile Display." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 57, and therefore denies them.
- 58. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 58, and therefore denies them.
- 59. Samsung admits that the Samsung Electronics Corporation, Ltd. ("SEC") maintains its executive offices at Samsung Electronics Building, 1320-10, Seocho 2-dong, Seocho-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea. Samsung also admits that SEC manufactured, and through its subsidiaries, marketed, sold, and/or distributed TFT-LCD panels and products containing TFT-LCD panels in the United States during at least part of the period alleged. Samsung also admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to SEC as "Samsung Electronics." With the exception of these specifically admitted matters, Samsung otherwise denies all of the allegations of Paragraph 59.
- 60. Samsung admits that Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA") is a New Jersey corporation wholly owned by SEC, maintaining offices at 105 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660. Samsung further admits that SEA marketed, sold, and/or distributed products containing TFT-LCD panels in the United States during at least part of the

period alleged. With exception of these specifically admitted matters, Samsung otherwise denies all of the allegations of Paragraph 60.

- 61. Samsung admits that Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. ("SSI") is a California corporation wholly owned by SEC, maintaining offices at 3655 North First Street, San Jose, California, 95134. Samsung further admits that SSI marketed, sold, and/or distributed TFT-LCD panels in the United States during at least part of the period alleged. With the exception of these specifically admitted matters, Samsung otherwise denies all of the allegations of Paragraph 61.
- 62. Samsung denies that it holds a "controlling interest" in Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 62, and therefore denies them.
- 63. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 63, and therefore denies them.
- 64. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. and Samsung SDI America, Inc. collectively as "Samsung SDI." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 64, and therefore denies them.
- 65. Samsung admits the allegations of the first and second sentences of Paragraph 65 and also admits that Sheppard Mullin is no longer counsel for SEC, SEA, and SSI in the MDL proceeding. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 65 relate to Samsung (used herein to refer solely to SEC, SEA, and SSI), Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 65 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 66. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 66 relate to Samsung (used herein to refer solely to SEC, SEA, and SSI), Samsung denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 66 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient

to form a belief as to their truth, and with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein, therefore denies them.

- 67. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 67, and therefore denies them.
- 68. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 68 relate to Samsung (used herein to refer solely to SEC, SEA, and SSI), Samsung denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 68 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 69. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to SEC, SEA, SSI, Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., and Samsung SDI America, Inc. collectively as "Samsung." Samsung avers that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 69 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions, which require no response. In responding to the allegations of the Complaint, Samsung uses the term "Samsung" herein to refer solely to SEC, SEA, and SSI. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 69 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that any allegation of Paragraph 69 relates to Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI America, Inc., or any other defendant, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 70. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 70, and therefore denies them.
- 71. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Sanyo Consumer Electronics Co., Ltd. as "Sanyo." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 71, and therefore denies them.
- 72. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 72, and therefore denies them.
- 73. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 73, and therefore denies them.

- 74. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation collectively as "Sharp." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 74, and therefore denies them.
- 75. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 75, and therefore denies them.
- 76. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 76, and therefore denies them.
- 77. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 77, and therefore denies them.
- 78. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 78, and therefore denies them.
- 79. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to refer to Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. and Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. collectively as "Toshiba." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 79, and therefore denies them.
- 80. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 80 refer to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 80 refer to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 81. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 81 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 81 refer to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 81 refer to Samsung, Samsung denies them.

- 82. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 82, and therefore denies them.
- 83. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 83 refer to other defendants or to alleged co-conspirators, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 83 refer to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 84. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 84 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 84 insofar as they relate to Samsung. To the extent that a response is required and insofar as the allegations of Paragraph 84 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

V. Allegations Concerning the Market For TFT-LCD Panels And TFT-LCD Products

- 85. Samsung admits that LCD panels are a type of display utilized in numerous electronic products, including mobile wireless handsets. With the exception of these matters specifically admitted, Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 85, and therefore denies them.
 - 86. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 86.
- 87. Samsung denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 87. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 87 and therefore denies them.
 - 88. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 88.
 - 89. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 89.
 - 90. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 90.
 - 91. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 91.
 - 92. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 92.
- 93. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 93 with the exception of those matters specifically admitted as follows. Samsung admits that new fabrication plants can cost

more than \$2 billion and that rapidly evolving technology and intellectual property requirements in the TFT-LCD panel industry may create a need for research, development, and investment.

- 94. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 94.
- 95. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 95, and therefore denies them.
- 96. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 96 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 96 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 97. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 97, and therefore denies them.

 Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 97.

VI. Allegations Concerning the Alleged Price Fixing of TFT-LCD Panels

- 98. Samsung admits that Cingular Wireless was identified as a major customer of Motorola in a 2003 PowerPoint document on which Jason Yun's name appears. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 98, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 98 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 98 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 99. Samsung admits that H.B. Suh and Masatoshi Tanaka had bilateral communications during which, on at least some occasions, pricing for TFT-LCD panels used in mobile wireless handsets was discussed. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 99 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 99 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

between various employees of Samsung and at least some other defendants and alleged coconspirators in the United States, Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan. Samsung also admits that at
least some of these group discussions and some of these bilateral discussions included exchange
of production, pricing, and market information. Samsung further admits that some of these
group discussions and some of these bilateral discussions were attended by Samsung employees
of varying levels. Samsung also admits that pricing information from competitors was, at times,
transmitted to higher-level employees and was, at times, considered as a datapoint by those who
had responsibility for setting prices. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph
100 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically
admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 100 relate to other
defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth,
and therefore denies them.

- 101. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 101, and therefore denies them.
- 102. Samsung admits that H.S. Kim testified that he attended a vendor conference in 1998 "and there was a table where many different LCD companies' sales reps were seated together, and there was this suggestion, "Why don't we get together once?" Samsung further admits that, when Mr. Kim was asked, "To the best of your recollection, can you name any company that was there when the suggestion was made to get together once?", Mr. Kim testified that "the only people I can vaguely remember would be Yamamoto-san from NEC, because he was with me many times at such vendor conferences." To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 102 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 102 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 103. Samsung admits that Samsung employees met in 1998 with representatives of Sharp, Toshiba, NEC, LG Electronics, and Mitsubishi, and that information on projected sales

volumes was exchanged during this meeting. Samsung further admits that, when asked about this meeting, H.S. Kim testified that "I would think probably there would have been a discussion about the business forecast for that particular year, because the meeting was in March." Samsung further admits that a description of this meeting appears in the Samsung defendants' contention interrogatory responses, which were served on March 4, 2011. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 103 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 103 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

104. Samsung admits that Samsung employees met again in 1998 with representatives of Sharp, Toshiba, NEC, LG Electronics, and Mitsubishi, and that information on projected sales volumes was exchanged during this meeting. Samsung admits that H.S. Kim testified that similar companies attended this meeting, and that Mr. Yamamoto was present. Samsung further admits that a description of this meeting appears in the Samsung defendants' contention interrogatory responses, which were served on March 4, 2011. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 104 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 104 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

105. Samsung admits that its employees had conversations with NEC employees involving discussion of LCD prices. Samsung further admits that Samsung's contention interrogatory responses state that H.B. Suh met with Mr. Nakamura and that Mr. Suh and Mr. Nakamura reached understandings about prices. Samsung also admits that Mr. Suh testified that he met and spoke on the phone with Mr. Nakamura and that Mr. Suh and Mr. Nakamura discussed notebook panel prices. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 105 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 105 relate to other defendants,

COMPLAINT (RELATES TO C 3:11-02591 SI)

Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- and other TFT-LCD panel manufacturers in and after 1999, and that pricing trends and other aspects of the TFT-LCD panel market were discussed at at least some of these meetings. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 106 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 106 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 107. Samsung admits that portions of an email sent by Carl Steudle on December 16, 1999 stated that Sanyo told Samsung it "did not want to be the only guy to raise prices for Feb." and that they "feel they can get one last price increase in Q1 and Feb. will be the month." To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 107 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 107 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 108. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 108, and therefore denies them.
- 109. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 109, and therefore denies them.
- 110. Samsung admits that some Samsung employees met with employees of Toshiba, Epson, and Sharp, and that pricing of TFT-LCD panels sold to Motorola was discussed at some of these meetings. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 110 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 110 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 111. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 111, and therefore denies them.
- 112. Samsung admits that employees of Samsung, AU Optronics, LG Display, Sharp, and other defendants attended "vendor conferences." Samsung also admits that H.S. Kim may have introduced his successor, S.R. Kim, to Mr. Kuma, an AU Optronics employee, at a vendor conference in 1999. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 112 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 112 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 113. Samsung admits that beginning in 2001 and continuing into 2005, a number of TFT-LCD panel manufacturers, including Samsung, AU Optronics, Chunghwa, Chi Mei, HannStar, and LG Display met periodically to discuss various topics, including TFT-LCD panel price, and volume information. Samsung further admits that Samsung employees attended multiple meetings with employees of one or more other defendants during the 2001-2005 time period. Samsung admits that some such meetings, sometimes referred to as "Crystal Meetings," occurred in Taiwan, and also admits that other meetings took place during this same time frame in South Korea, Japan, and the United States. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 113 relate to Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 113 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- at least some of these were called "CEO" or "Top" meetings and also meetings attended by midlevel employees, some of which were called "Commercial" or "Operational" meetings. Samsung further admits that there were also, at times, less formal meetings and communications between employees of Samsung and some other defendants. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 114 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the

exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 114 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- also admits that meetings sometimes utilized charts with price and volume information.

 Samsung further admits that attendees discussed market demand and supply, current and future price projections, and other competitive information. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 115 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 115 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- approximately once a month, more frequently than high-level meetings. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 116 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 116 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 117. Samsung admits that attendees at at least some of these meetings exchanged information about current and future TFT-LCD panel prices. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 117 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 117 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 118. Samsung admits that information about supply, demand, and production of TFT-LCD panels were exchanged at at least some of the meetings attended by employees of Samsung and other defendants. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 118 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 118 relate to other defendants,

Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 119. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 119 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 119 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 120. Samsung admits that participants at some crystal meetings took steps to avoid drawing attention to those meetings. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 120 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 120 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein.
- 121. Samsung admits that these meetings, at times, included discussions of TFT-LCDs used in televisions, desktop monitors, and notebook and laptop computers. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 121 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 121 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 122. Samsung admits there were informal meetings between employees of Samsung and some other defendants, and that some of these took place at restaurants over meals. Samsung also admits that information on the prices, supply, demand, and production of TFT-LCD panels was exchanged at some of these meetings and that this information was, in some instances, later given to individuals with responsibility for pricing. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 122 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 122 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 123. Samsung admits that attendees at at least some group meetings made reference to defendants who had not attended those meetings. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 123 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 123 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 124. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 124, and therefore denies them.
- 125. Samsung admits that H.B. Suh met with Mr. Matsura approximately three or four times and that Mr. Matsura testified that he did so. Samsung further admits that Mr. Suh and Mr. Matsura sometimes reached common understandings on pricing to mutual customers. Samsung also admits that Mr. Suh testified that he and Mr. Matsura discussed the prices of 15-inch monitor and television panels. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 125 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 125 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 126. Samsung admits that Michael Hanson testified that he met with Gordon West once or twice and spoke on the telephone with Mr. West approximately once per month over a certain period. Samsung further admits that Mr. Hanson testified that he conveyed the information he received from Mr. West to Yul Rak Sohn. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 126 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 126 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 127. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 127, and therefore denies them.

- some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees. Samsung also admits that Samsung and AU Optronics had bilateral discussions on occasion during the period alleged. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 128 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 128 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 129. Samsung admits that representatives from AU Optronics were present at at least some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees. Samsung also admits that AU Optronics communicated with competitors concerning TFT-LCDs. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 129 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 129 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 130. Samsung admits that representatives from Chi Mei were present at at least some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees. Samsung also admits that Samsung and Chi Mei had bilateral discussions on occasion during the period alleged. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 130 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 130 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 131. Samsung admits that representatives from Chunghwa were present at at least some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees, and that Samsung and Chunghwa had bilateral discussions on at least one occasion during the period alleged. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 131 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 131 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

132. Samsung admits that representatives from Chunghwa were present at at least some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees. Samsung also admits that Chunghwa communicated with competitors concerning TFT-LCDs. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 132 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 132 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- discussions with Epson on occasion during the period alleged and that some such discussions included communications about prices of TFT-LCD panels. Samsung also admits that H.B. Suh of Samsung engaged in discussions with a Mr. Ito of Epson, during which information was shared concerning the prices the two companies would quote to Motorola. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 133 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 133 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 134. Samsung admits that Epson's communications with its competitors included communications concerning TFT-LCD panels of different sizes. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 134 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 134 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 135. Samsung admits that representatives from HannStar were present at at least some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees. Samsung also admits that Samsung and HannStar had bilateral discussions on occasion during the period alleged. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 135 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that

the allegations of Paragraph 135 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 136. Samsung admits that bilateral discussions took place on occasion during the period alleged between representatives from Samsung and representatives from Hitachi. Samsung further admits that at least some of these discussions included communications relating to TFT-LCD panels. Samsung further admits that on occasion Samsung and Hitachi discussed prices or price ranges for one or more customers. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 136 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 136 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 137. Samsung admits that one or more Samsung representatives met on at least one occasion with Genechi Watanabe during the period alleged to discuss TFT-LCDs. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 137 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 137 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 138. Samsung admits that Hitachi's communications with its competitors included communications concerning TFT-LCD panels of different sizes and that Samsung employees had bilateral communications with Hitachi employees, during which there was discussion of TFT-LCD panels of different sizes. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 138 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 138 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 139. Samsung admits that representatives from LG Display were present at at least some of the meetings that were also attended by Samsung employees. Samsung also admits that Samsung and LG Display had bilateral discussions on occasion during the period alleged. To

the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 139 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 139 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 140. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to forma a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 140, and therefore denies them.
- 141. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to forma a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 141, and therefore denies them.
- 142. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to forma a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 142, and therefore denies them.
- 143. Samsung admits that it participated in several of the referenced meetings with competitors, as well as bilateral discussions with at least some other defendants, during at least some portion of the period alleged. Samsung further admits that it has had communications, at times, about TFT-LCD panel prices with Chunghwa, Epson, Hitachi, Sharp, and Toshiba. Samsung also admits that H.B. Suh participated in bilateral meetings with competitors where TFT-LCD panels were discussed, and that, at his deposition, when asked "When you spoke with competitors about pricing of mobile display panels, did those discussions included, from time to time, TFD, TFT, and color STN?" he responded "Sometimes, yes." To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 143 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.
- 144. Samsung admits that it had communications with competitors, including Hitachi and Sharp, concerning TFT-LCDs of different sizes. Samsung further admits that Samsung employees took part in numerous meetings between competitors in the TFT-LCD industry during approximately the 2001-2006 time frame, including on some of the dates alleged in Paragraph 144. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 144 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 144 relate to other defendants, Samsung

lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 145. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 145 relate to Samsung (used herein to refer solely to SEC, SEA, and SSI), Samsung denies these allegations. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 145 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 146. Samsung admits that Samsung employees and Sharp employees had communications on at least one occasion during the period alleged with respect to prices of TFT-LCDs. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 146 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 146 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 147. Samsung admits that Mike Hanson of SSI met with Brian Graham during the period alleged and that during at least some of these meetings, Graham discussed and exchanged information concerning price and volume. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 147 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 147 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 148. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to forma a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 148, and therefore denies them.
- Toshiba on at least one occasion during the period alleged and that these discussions sometimes included discussions of prices for TFT-LCD panels. Samsung also admits that H.B. Suh of Samsung met with Mr. Chiba, Mr. Tanaka, Mr. Amano, and Mr. Kanamori of Toshiba, and that TFT-LCD pricing was discussed at at least some of these meetings. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 149 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations,

with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 149 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 150. Samsung admits that one or more of its employees had communications with Toshiba employees concerning LCDs of different sizes. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 150 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 150 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 151. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 151, and therefore denies them.
- 152. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 152, and therefore denies them.
- 153. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 153, and therefore denies them.
- 154. Samsung admits that a representative from NEC LCD Technologies, Ltd. was present at two meetings that were also attended by a Samsung employee. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 154 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 154 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- of Samsung and at least some other defendants. Samsung also admits that at least some of these bilateral discussions included an exchange of information regarding pricing for small-sized TFT-LCD panels used in mobile devices. Samsung further admits that pricing information during these discussions was, at times, shared with higher-level employees and was, at times, considered as a datapoint by those who had responsibility for setting prices. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 155 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the

exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 155 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 156. Samsung admits that its employees at times participated in bilateral meetings with competitors regarding prices for TFT-LCD panels incorporated into mobile wireless handsets. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 156 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 156 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 157. Samsung admits that, at times, H.S. Kim requested that his direct reports obtain information concerning competitors, including pricing information, and that this information was, at times, considered as a datapoint by those Samsung employees who had responsibility for setting prices. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 157 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 157 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 158. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 158. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 158 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 158 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 159. Samsung admits that Michael Hanson met with Brian Graham on several occasions and that during at least some of these meetings Graham and Hanson discussed and exchanged information concerning pricing. Samsung also admits that Hanson met with representatives of LG Display (then LPL), Toshiba, and AU Optronics, and that during at least some of these meetings pricing information was exchanged. Samsung further admits that Hanson's in-person meetings with representatives of other companies sometimes took place at restaurants and bars, and that Hanson also had conversations with contacts at other LCD panel

suppliers by phone. Samsung also admits that pricing information from competitors was, at times, transmitted to higher-level employees and was, at times, considered as a datapoint by those who had responsibility for setting prices. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 159 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 159 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 160. Samsung admits that group and/or bilateral discussions took place between various employees of Samsung and at least some other defendants and alleged co-conspirators in the United States, Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 160 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 160 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- of Samsung admits that bilateral discussions took place between various employees of Samsung and at least some other defendants. Samsung also admits that at least some of these bilateral discussions included an exchange of information regarding pricing for small-sized TFT-LCD panels used in mobile devices. Samsung further admits that pricing information during these discussions was, at times, shared with higher-level employees and was, at times, considered as a datapoint by those who had responsibility for setting prices. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 161 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 161 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 162. Samsung admits that H.B. Suh participated in bilateral meetings with competitors regarding prices for TFT-LCD panels incorporated into mobile wireless handsets. Samsung also admits that, during his deposition, when Mr. Suh was asked "When you spoke with competitors about pricing of mobile display panels, did those discussions include, from time to time, TFD,

TFT, and color STN?" he responded "Sometimes, yes." Samsung further admits that, beginning in 2003, Mr. Suh had both in-person and telephone discussions with Yoshihiko Kitayama of Sharp and that during some of these discussions, pricing for TFT-LCD panels for Motorola and other customers was discussed. Samsung admits that Mr. Suh had both in-person and telephone discussions with Makoto Chiba of Toshiba and that during some of these discussions pricing for TFT-LCD panels for Motorola and other customers was discussed. Samsung also admits that Mr. Suh had both in-person and telephone discussions with Mr. Ito of Epson and that during some of these discussions pricing for TFT-LCD panels for Motorola and other customers was discussed. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 162 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 162 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

163. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 163 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that SSI maintains offices and conducts operations in California. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 163 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 163 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

164. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 164 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 164 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 164 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

165. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 165 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that SSI maintained offices in San Jose, California. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung also admits that Jason Yun was Director of TFT-LCD Panel Sales at SSI's San Jose offices from 2002 to 2006, that Mr. Yun was, at times, responsible for mobile display products sold to various Samsung customers, including Motorola and RIM, and that Mr. Yun discussed pricing for LCD panels with at least some of Samsung's customers in the United States. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 165 with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.

166. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 166 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Mr. Yun obtained information from competitors about pricing for TFT-LCD panels used in mobile wireless handsets. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 166 with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth the remaining allegations of Paragraph 166, and therefore denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.

167. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 167 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that on occasion, Mr. Yun may have shared information from competitors about pricing for TFT-LCD panels used in mobile wireless handsets with Samsung's U.S. sales team for Motorola and with other Samsung employees outside the U.S., some of whom had responsibility for determining Samsung's pricing for TFT-LCD panels. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth the

remaining allegations of Paragraph 167, and therefore denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.

- 168. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 168 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Mr. Yun received information from colleagues in Korea, Japan, and Taiwan, and that at least some of these colleagues engaged in bilateral or multilateral communications with competitors, including on occasion Sharp, Toshiba, and Epson. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 168, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.
- 169. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 169 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that Seishu Arai was a Samsung employee based in Japan, that Mr. Arai had meetings with employees of Sharp and Toshiba during which pricing and volume of TFT-LCD panels was sometimes discussed, and that some of these discussions involved panels that might be incorporated into mobile wireless handsets. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung also admits that Mr. Arai may have communicated some information obtained from competitors to Mr. Yun. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 169, and therefore denies them. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 169, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.
- 170. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 170 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 170 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 170 relate

to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 171. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 171 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that H.B. Suh met with Mr. Chiba and Mr. Tanaka of Toshiba, that TFT-LCD panel pricing was discussed at at least some of these meetings, and that at least some of these meetings included discussions of small TFT-LCD panels used in portable electronic devices. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 171 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 171 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 172. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 172 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 172 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 172 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 173. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 173 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 173 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 173 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

174. Samsung admits that, in December 2006, government authorities in Japan, South Korea, the European Union, and the United States revealed the existence of investigations into the TFT-LCD panel industry, the details of which are matters of public record. Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 174, and therefore denies them.

- 175. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 175, and therefore denies them.
- 176. Samsung admits that at least one of the Defendants approached the Antitrust Division of the DOJ to enter into a leniency agreement with respect to its competitor contacts concerning the prices of TFT-LCD panels, that there is an ongoing investigation by the DOJ, and that a number of defendants and their executives have entered guilty pleas. Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 176, and therefore denies them.
- 177. Samsung admits that Chi Mei Optoelectronics has entered a guilty plea, the terms of which are matters of public record. With the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 177, and therefore denies them.
- 178. Samsung admits that LG Display has entered a guilty plea, the terms of which are matters of public record. With the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 178, and therefore denies them.
- 179. Samsung admits that Chung Suk "C.S." Chung has entered a guilty plea, the terms of which are matters of public record. With the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 179, and therefore denies them.
- 180. Samsung admits that Bock Kwon has entered a guilty plea, the terms of which are matters of public record. With the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein,

Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 180, and therefore denies them.

- 181. Samsung admits that Duk Mo Koo has been indicted and that the terms of this indictment are matters of public record. With the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 181, and therefore denies them.
- 182. Samsung admits that Chunghwa has entered a plea agreement with the DOJ, the terms of which are a matter of public record. With the exception of these matters specifically admitted, Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 182, and therefore denies them.
- 183. Samsung admits that Chih-Chun "C.C." Liu, Hsueh-Lung "Brian" Lee, and Chieng-Hon "Frank" Lin have entered into plea agreements, the terms of which are matters of public record. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 183, and therefore denies them.
- 184. Samsung admits that former Chunghwa executives have been indicted in connection with contacts between competitors in the TFT-LCD panel industry, and that the contents of these indictments are a matter of public record. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 184, and therefore denies them.
- 185. Samsung admits that Sharp Corporation entered a plea agreement with the DOJ, the terms of which are a matter of public record. Samsung also admits that there were informal meetings and bilateral discussions between employees of Samsung and Sharp. Samsung further admits that information on the prices of TFT-LCD panels was exchanged at some of these meetings. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 185 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 185 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- Samsung admits that there were bilateral discussions between employees of Samsung and Sharp and that information on the prices of TFT-LCD panels was exchanged at some of these meetings. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 186 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 186 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 187. Samsung admits that Epson Imaging Devices Corporation entered a plea agreement with the DOJ, the terms of which are a matter of public record. With the exception of these matters specifically admitted, Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 187, and therefore denies them.
- 188. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 188, and therefore denies them.
- 189. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 189, and therefore denies them.
- 190. Samsung admits that Plaintiff purports to make allegations concerning corporate families in the manner described in Paragraph 190. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 190 relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 190 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 191. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 191, and therefore denies them.

 Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 191.
- 192. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 192, and therefore denies them.

- 193. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 193, and therefore denies them.
- 194. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 194, and therefore denies them.
- 195. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 195 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 195 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 196. Samsung denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 196. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 196, and therefore denies them.
- 197. Samsung denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 197. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 197, and therefore denies them.
- 198. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 198, and therefore denies them.
- 199. Samsung admits that there have been at least eight generations of TFT-LCD panel fabs, that each new generation has been produced from a larger size of glass than the preceding generation, and that third-generation fabs existed in 1996. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 199 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 199 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
 - 200. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 200.
 - 201. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 201.
- 202. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 202, and therefore denies them.
 - 203. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 203.

- 204. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 204 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 204 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 205. Samsung denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 205. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 205, and therefore denies them.
- 206. Samsung admits that, during the period alleged, both TFT-LCD and STN-LCD panels were used in mobile wireless handsets. Samsung also admits that Plaintiff purports to define "STN-LCD Panel" to include both CSTN-LCD panels and MSTN-LCD panels. Samsung denies the allegations contained in the last sentence of Paragraph 206. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 206, and therefore denies them.
- 207. Samsung admits that it manufactured TFT-LCD panels, that some of its employees were responsible for establishing pricing for TFT-LCDs, and that some of its employees engaged in bilateral discussions during which pricing for TFT-LCD panels was sometimes a topic. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 207 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 207 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 208. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 208 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 208 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 209. Samsung admits that, during his deposition, when Mr. Suh was asked "When you spoke with competitors about pricing of mobile display panels, did those discussions include, from time to time, TFD, TFT, and color STN?" Mr. Suh replied "Sometimes, yes." Samsung

also admits that Samsung employee H.B. Suh had bilateral communications with representatives from Epson, Sharp, and Toshiba. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 209 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 209 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 210. Samsung admits that the quote from Mr. Suh's deposition given by Plaintiff in Paragraph 210 is accurate.
- 211. Samsung admits that some of its employees engaged in bilateral discussions with Brian Graham of Sharp and that pricing information for TFT-LCD panels was exchanged during at least some of these discussions. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 211 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 211 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 212. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 212, and therefore denies them.
- 213. Samsung admits that some of its employees had bilateral discussions with employees of Sharp and that pricing for TFT-LCD panels was discussed on at least some of those occasions. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 213 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 213 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 214. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 214 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 214 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.

- 215. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 215 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 215 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 216. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 216 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 216 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 217. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 217, and therefore denies them.
- 218. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 218, and therefore denies them.
- 219. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 219, and therefore denies them.
- 220. Samsung admits that some Samsung employees met with employees of Toshiba, Epson, and Sharp, and that pricing of TFT-LCD panels sold to Motorola was discussed at at least some of these meetings. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 220 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 220 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 221. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 221, and therefore denies them.
 - 222. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 222.
- 223. Samsung denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 223. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 223, and therefore denies them.

- 224. Samsung denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 224. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 224, and therefore denies them.
- 225. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 225 relate to other defendants or to purchasers of LCD panels, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 225 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 226. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 226 and the illustration contained therein, and therefore denies them.
- 227. Samsung denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 227. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 227, and therefore denies them.
- 228. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 228 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 228 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 229. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 229 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 229 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
 - 230. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 230.
- 231. Samsung admits that the LCD market is served by several major trade associations that put on industry-wide meetings several times a year. Samsung avers that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 231 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 231 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 231 require

a response and to the extent that these allegations relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 232. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 232, and therefore denies them.
- 233. Samsung admits the Electronic Display Industrial Research Association of Korea ("EDIRAK") and the Korea Display Equipment Material Industry Association ("KODEMIA") were trade associations of South Korean manufacturers during at least part of the period alleged. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 233, and therefore denies them.
- 234. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 234, and therefore denies them.
- 235. Samsung admits that the Society for Information Display ("SID") put on multiple meetings during the period alleged and that at least some of these were attended by executives from major LCD producers. Samsung also admits that one of these meetings is named the "SID International Symposium and Business Conference" and that another is called the "International Display Research Conference." Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 235, and therefore denies them.
- 236. Samsung admits that, for at least some part of the period alleged, Dong-Hun Lee was a SEC executive with final pricing authority for Samsung TFT-LCDs and H.S. Kim's superior at SEC. Samsung also admits representatives from Samsung, including Dong-Hun Lee, were in attendance at SID 2004. With the exception of matters specifically admitted herein, Samsung otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 236 to the extent that they relate to Samsung. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 236 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 237. Samsung admits that Jun-Hyung Souk, an employee of SEC at the time, attended SID 2005. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 237, and therefore denies them.

- 238. Samsung admits that the program for the SID 2005 conference identified Jun Souk as "Executive VP, Samsung Electronics" and described a presentation he was scheduled to give, entitled "Managing the Crystal Cycles" as follows: "By reviewing what happened during the business up-and-down cycles of the LCD in the past, we have learned lessons that will reduce the burden in future cycles. Efforts made in cost reduction, line-investment timing, and new market generation will be described." Samsung further admits that Jun-Hyung Souk, an employee of SEC at the time, attended SID 2005. Samsung otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 238, with the exception of the matters specifically admitted herein.
- 239. Samsung admits that Sang Wan Lee and Jun-Hyung Souk, employees of SEC at the time, and Joe Virginia, an employee of SSI at the time, attended SID 2005. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 239, and therefore denies them.
- 240. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 240, and therefore denies them.
- 241. Samsung admits that Jun-Hyung Souk, an employee of SEC at the time, attended the GFPC 2006 conference. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 241, and therefore denies them.
- 242. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 242, and therefore denies them.
- 243. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 243, and therefore denies them.
 - 244. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 244.
 - 245. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 245.
- 246. Samsung denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 246. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 246, and therefore denies them.
- 247. Samsung admits that it manufactured products containing TFT-LCD panels and sold at least some of these in the United States. To the extent that the remaining allegations of

Paragraph 247 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a believe as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 247 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.

- 248. To the extent that the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 248 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 248 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. Samsung denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 248.
 - 249. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 249.
- 250. Samsung admits that Samsung and Sharp have filed the three complaints cited by Plaintiff in Paragraph 250 with the U.S. International Trade Commission and that each complaint contains allegations employing the language quoted by Plaintiff. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 250 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 250 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 251. Samsung admits that some other defendants have entered guilty pleas, the terms of which are matters of public record. Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 251, and therefore denies them.
 - 252. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 252.

VII. Allegations Concerning Plaintiff's Alleged Injuries

- 253. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 253.
- 254. Samsung admits that, during at least part of the period alleged, T-Mobile purchased mobile wireless handsets from Samsung and/or its sales agents in the United States. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 254 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that

the remaining allegations of Paragraph 254 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 255. In response to the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 255, Samsung admits that it sold certain handsets to T-Mobile pursuant to one or more agreements. Samsung denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 255.
- 256. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 256 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 256 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.
- 257. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 257, and therefore denies them. Samsung denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 257.
 - 258. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 258.
 - 259. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 259.

VIII. Allegations Concerning Concealment of the Alleged Conspiracy

- 260. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 260.
- 261. Samsung admits that at least some attendees at meetings between competitors attempted to conceal the fact and substance of certain meetings and took steps to do so. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 261 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 261 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.
- 262. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 262 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 262 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 263. Samsung admits that at least some attendees at meetings between competitors attempted to conceal the fact and substance of certain meetings and took steps to do so. To the

extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 263 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 263 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.

- 264. Samsung admits that at least some attendees at meetings between competitors attempted to conceal the fact and substance of certain meetings and took steps to do so. Samsung further admits that at least some discussions among defendants about price, output, or other competitively sensitive topics were not disclosed to the public. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 264 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 264 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.
- 265. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 265, and therefore denies them.
- 266. Samsung admits that group meetings between Samsung and other defendants were discontinued. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 266 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 266 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein.
 - 267. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 267.
- 268. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 268 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 268 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 269. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 269, and therefore denies them.

- 270. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 270, and therefore denies them.
- 271. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 271 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 271 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 272. Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 272, and therefore denies them.
 - 273. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 273.
 - 274. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 274.
 - 275. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 275.
- 276. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 276 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Samsung admits Samsung admits that some other defendants have entered guilty pleas, the terms of which are matters of public record. Samsung otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 276, and therefore denies them.
- 277. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 277 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 277 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 277, and therefore denies them. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 277 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.
- 278. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 278 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 278 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of

Paragraph 278, and therefore denies them. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 278 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.

279. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 279 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 279 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 279 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 279, and therefore denies them. To the extent a response is required, and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 279 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.

IX. Violations Alleged

- 280. Samsung repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 279 of the Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth herein at length.
- 281. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 281 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 281.
 - 282. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 282 and its subparts.
 - 283. Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 283 and its subparts.
- 284. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 284 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 284.
- 285. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 285 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 285.
- 286. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 286 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 286 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them.

To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 286 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 287. Samsung repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 286 of the Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth herein at length.
- 288. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 288 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 288.
- 289. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 289 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 289, and therefore denies them.
- 290. Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 290 constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 290 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 290.
- 291. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 291 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung admits that SSI maintained offices in California during at least part of the period alleged. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 291 relate to Samsung, Samsung denies these allegations, with the exception of those matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that a response is required, and to the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 291 relate to other defendants, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

- 292. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 292 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 292.
- 293. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 293 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 293.
- 294. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 294 and its subparts refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 294 and its subparts.
- 295. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 295 and its subparts refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 295 and its subparts.
- 296. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 296 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 296.
- 297. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 297 refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required, Samsung denies the allegations of Paragraph 297.
- 298. Samsung admits that SSI maintained offices in California during at least part of the period alleged. Samsung avers that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 298 and its subparts constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the remaining allegations of Paragraph 298 and its subparts refer to claims

dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 298 and its subparts relate to Samsung, Samsung otherwise denies them, with the exception of matters specifically admitted herein. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 298 and its subparts relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

Samsung avers that the allegations of Paragraph 299 and its subparts constitute legal contentions and/or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 299 and its subparts refer to claims dismissed by the Court's Feb. 6 Order, Samsung avers that no response is necessary as these claims no longer form a part of the operative complaint. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 299 and its subparts relate to Samsung, Samsung denies them. To the extent that a response is required and to the extent that the allegations of Paragraph 299 and its subparts relate to other defendants or to Plaintiff, Samsung lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth, and therefore denies them.

X. Plaintiff's Prayer For Relief

Samsung denies that Plaintiff suffered any injury or incurred any damages by any act or omission of Samsung as alleged in the Complaint, and further denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief under any theory by means of the allegations set forth in the Complaint.

XI. Plaintiff's Demand for a Jury Trial

Samsung avers that Plaintiff's demand for a jury trial constitutes a legal contention and/or conclusion to which no response is necessary.

25

26

27

SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Samsung asserts the following defenses to Plaintiff's alleged causes of action. Insofar as any of the following expresses denial of an element of any claim alleged against Samsung, such expression does not indicate that Plaintiff is relieved of their burden to prove each and every element of any such claim.

Samsung adopts and incorporates by reference any and all other additional or affirmative defenses asserted or to be asserted by any other defendant in this proceeding to the extent that Samsung may share in such affirmative defenses.

Samsung has not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable defenses and explicitly reserves the right to assert and rely on such other applicable defenses as may become available or apparent during discovery proceedings. Samsung further reserves the right to amend its Answer and/or its defenses accordingly, and/or to delete defenses that it determines are not applicable during the course of subsequent discovery.

FIRST ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Join Indispensable Parties)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, for failure to join indispensable parties.

THIRD ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim Under the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act)

Plaintiff's claims for any foreign purchases, if any, should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff has failed to allege facts sufficient to support a claim under the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6a.

FOURTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 (Failure to Allege Fraud or Fraudulent Concealment with Particularity) 3 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 4 part, because Plaintiff has failed to allege fraud or fraudulent concealment with sufficient 5 particularity. FIFTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 7 (Failure to Plead Conspiracy with Particularity) 8 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 9 part, because Plaintiff has failed to plead conspiracy with sufficient particularity. 10 SIXTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 (Lack of Standing) 12 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 13 part, to the extent Plaintiff lacks standing to sue for the injuries alleged in the Complaint, 14 including without limitation, to the extent Plaintiff purports to assert claims beyond those 15 expressly assigned to them, or because Plaintiff's claims are barred by their lack of standing to 16 prosecute the claims alleged. 17 SEVENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 18 (Lack of Standing as Indirect Purchaser) 19 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 20 part, under *Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois*, 434 U.S. 881 (1977). 21 EIGHTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 22 (Waiver and Estoppel) 23 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 24 part, by the doctrines of waiver and/or estoppel. 25 NINTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 26 (Laches) 27 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 28 part, by the equitable doctrine of laches.

TENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 (Acquiescence) 3 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 4 part, by Plaintiff's acquiescence and/or confirmation of any and all conduct and/or omissions 5 alleged as to Samsung. ELEVENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 7 (Statute of Limitations) 8 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 9 part, by the applicable statute(s) of limitations. 10 TWELFTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Antitrust Injury) 11 12 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has not suffered actual, 13 cognizable antitrust injury of the type antitrust laws are intended to remedy. 14 THIRTEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 15 (Privilege) 16 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 17 part, because any action taken by or on behalf of Samsung was justified, constituted bona fide 18 business competition, and was taken in pursuit of its own legitimate business and economic 19 interests, and is therefore privileged. 20 FOURTEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 21 (Competition Not Harmed) 22 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 23 part, because Samsung's actions did not lessen competition in the relevant market. 24 FIFTEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 25 (Prices Fixed by Purchasers) 26 Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in 27 part, because the price of LCD panels and/or products was fixed, if at all, by the purchasers of 28 LCD panels and/or products, either individually or collectively.

SIXTEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Detrimental Reliance)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff did not detrimentally rely upon any alleged deceptive trade conduct as alleged in the Complaint.

SEVENTEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Proximate Cause)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, due to the absence of any injury or damage for which Samsung's actions, conduct, or omissions were the proximate cause.

EIGHTEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because any and all injuries alleged in the Complaint, the fact and extent of which Samsung specifically denies, were directly and proximately caused or contributed to by the statements, acts, and/or omissions of Plaintiff and/or third parties or entities other than Samsung.

NINETEENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Intervening or Superseding Acts of Third Parties)

Plaintiff's damages resulted from the acts or omissions of third parties over whom Samsung had no control or responsibility. The acts of such third parties constitute intervening or superseding causes of the harm, if any, suffered by Plaintiff.

TWENTIETH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Absence of Damages)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has suffered no injury or damages as a result of the matters alleged in the Complaint. To the extent that Plaintiff purportedly suffered injury or damage, which Samsung specifically denies, Samsung further

TWENTY-FIRST ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Uncertainty of Damages) Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because the alleged damages sought are too speculative and uncertain, and cannot be practicably ascertained or allocated. TWENTY-SECOND ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate Damages) Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff failed to take all necessary, reasonable, and appropriate actions to TWENTY-THIRD ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Injury or Damages Offset by Benefits Received) Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because any claimed injury or damage has been offset by the benefits received by Plaintiff TWENTY-FOURTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE To the extent that Samsung has any liability to Plaintiff, that liability is limited at most to that portion of the actual damages sustained by Plaintiff that are attributable to Samsung's TWENTY-FIFTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Without admitting that Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in this matter, Samsung is entitled to set off from any recovery Plaintiff may obtain against Samsung any amount paid to Plaintiff by any other Defendants who have settled, or do settle, Plaintiff's claims in this matter.

TWENTY-SIXTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim for Injunctive Relief)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff has failed to state a claim for injunctive relief insofar as Plaintiff seeks to enjoin alleged events that have already transpired without the requisite showing of threatened harm or continuing harm.

TWENTY-SEVENTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Adequate Remedy at Law)

Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief should be dismissed because Plaintiff has available an adequate remedy at law.

TWENTY-EIGHTH ADDITIONAL OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Remedies Unconstitutional, Unauthorized, or Contrary to Public Policy)

Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed to the extent that they are barred, in whole or in part, because the remedies sought are unconstitutional, contrary to public policy, or are otherwise unauthorized.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, having stated its answer and affirmative defenses, Samsung prays for relief as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing under the Complaint, and the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice;
- 2. That judgment be entered in favor of Samsung and against Plaintiff on each and every cause of action set forth in the Complaint;
- 3. That Samsung recover its costs of suit and attorneys' fees incurred herein; and
- 4. That Samsung be granted such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

DATED: February 21, 2012

COI	VING	$\Gamma \cap N$	Яr	RIII	NI IS	IG	T	P
(()	V 1 N (I	1 () 1	(X.	1)()[VI /II	N (I	1 /1	

By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Davidson

JEFFREY M. DAVIDSON
Attorney for Defendants
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.