

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES B. GOODMAN,
Plaintiff,
v.
INTEL CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendants.

No. C-11-2607 MMC

**ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO
LODGE CHAMBERS COPY IN
COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL
ORDER 45 AND THE COURT'S
STANDING ORDERS**


On September 9, 2011, defendant Intel Corporation ("Intel") electronically filed its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Patent Infringement and Counterclaims, and accompanying Statement of Corporate Disclosure and Certificate of Interested Entities. Intel has violated General Order 45 and the Court's standing orders, however, by failing to deliver to the Clerk's Office "no later than noon on the business day following the day that the papers are filed electronically, one paper copy of each document that is filed electronically . . . marked 'Chambers Copy' and . . . clearly marked with the judge's name, case number, and 'Chambers Copy-Do Not File.'" See General Order 45 § VI.G; see also Standing Orders For Civil Cases Assigned to The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney ¶ 2.

Intel is hereby ORDERED to comply with General Order 45 and the Court's standing orders by immediately submitting a chambers copy of the above-referenced documents. Intel is hereby advised that if it fails in the future to comply with the Court's order to provide

1 chambers copies of electronically-filed documents, the Court may impose sanctions,
2 including, but not limited to, striking from the record any electronically-filed document of
3 which a chambers copy has not been timely provided to the Court.

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: September 16, 2011


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28