## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<u>JAME</u>	S B. GOODMAN, Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. <b>3:11-CV-02607 MMC</b>
INTEL	v. L CORP. ET AL.	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
		and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The pa	arties agree to participate in the follo	owing ADR process:
	Court Processes:  □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 6)	
	Private Process:  □ Private ADR (please ident)	ify process and provider)
The pa	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order OR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	□ other requested deadline _	
Dated:	October 27, 2011	/DF/ DAVID FINK Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:	October 27, 2011	/BG/ BARRY GRAHAM Attorney for Defendant ELPIDA MEMORY, INC.
Dated:	October 27, 2011	/S/ BRIAN A. DIEZEL Attorney for Defendant Integrated Silicon Solutions, Inc.
Dated:	October 27, 2011	/S/ JAMES VALENTINE Attorney for Defendant Intel Corp.

## CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation." Also, please be sure to complete the [Proposed] Order by checking the process to which the parties have stipulated, and indicating your <u>requested</u> deadline for the ADR session.

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

	uant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
X	Mediation
	Private ADR
Dead	lline for ADR session
X	90 days from the date of this order.
	other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 2, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Mafine M. Chesse