

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY TORRES,

Plaintiff,

v.

G. LEWIS; MATTHEW CATE; G.
KELLEY

Defendant.

No. C 11-2638 JSW (PR)

ORDER OF SERVICE

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, a California prisoner incarcerated at Pelican Bay State Prison (“PBSP”) has filed this pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. His application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted in a separate order. This Court now reviews the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, dismisses certain claims, finds other claims cognizable, and orders the complaint served upon Defendants.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint “is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,” or “seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.” *Id.* § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep’t*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

1 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement
2 of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not
3 necessary; the statement need only "'give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim
4 is and the grounds upon which it rests.'" *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200
5 (2007) (citations omitted). Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need
6 detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his
7 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic
8 recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. . . . Factual allegations must
9 be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v.*
10 *Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer
11 "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1974. Pro se
12 pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696,
13 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

14 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1)
15 that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2)
16 that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law.
17 *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

18 DISCUSSION

19 Plaintiff alleges that for a four month period, between June 20 and October 20,
20 2010, PBSP was subject to a "modified lockdown" in which the amount of time he and
21 other inmates were allowed outdoors for exercise was reduced from ten hours per week
22 to three and a half hours per week. Exercise is one of the basic human necessities
23 protected by the Eighth Amendment, such that the "long-term" deprivation of outdoor
24 exercise to prisoners is unconstitutional. *LeMaire v. Maass*, 12 F.3d 1444, 1457-58 (9th
25 Cir. 1993). The decisions of this circuit do not indicate that the amount of exercise
26 Plaintiff allegedly received – three and a half hours per week of exercise over a four
27 month period -- is insufficient under the Eighth Amendment. *Cf. May v. Baldwin*, 109
28 F.3d 557, 565 (9th Cir. 1997) (no outdoor exercise at all for 21 days not enough to state

1 Eighth Amendment claim); *cf. also Thomas v. Ponder*, 611 F.3d 1144, 1151 (9th Cir.
2 2010) (fourteen-month deprivation of outdoor exercise sufficient to implicate Eight
3 Amendment); *Pierce v. County of Orange*, 526 F.3d 1190, 1212 (9th Cir. 2008) (ninety
4 minutes per week of exercise does not comport with Eighth Amendment standards);
5 *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1132-33 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (no outdoor exercise
6 for 6-1/2 weeks implicates Eighth Amendment); *Allen v. Sakai*, 48 F.3d 1082, 1087-88
7 (9th Cir. 1994) (no outdoor exercise for six weeks implicates Eighth Amendment claim).
8 Consequently, Plaintiff's allegations regarding the temporary reduction in his exercise
9 time do not state a cognizable claim for relief.

10 Plaintiff also alleges that there are no fire sprinklers in PBSP's Administrative
11 Segregation Unit ("ASU"), where he was housed formerly, and that the prison as a whole
12 does not have an evacuation plan for tsunamis despite being located in a tsunami "zone."
13 When liberally construed, these allegations are sufficient to state a cognizable claim that
14 Defendants were deliberately indifferent to his safety, in violation of the Eighth
15 Amendment.

16 CONCLUSION

17 For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

18 1. Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim is DISMISSED IN PART as described
19 above.

20 2. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal
21 shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint and all attachments
22 thereto, and a copy of this order upon Defendant: **Matthew Cate** at the **California**
23 **Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation** in **Sacramento, California**; and upon
24 **Greg Lewis, Warden**, and **Lieutenant G. Kelley** at **Pelican Bay State Prison**.

25 The Clerk shall also mail a courtesy copy of the complaint and this order to the
26 California Attorney General's Office.

27 The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Plaintiff.

28 3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the Court orders as follows:

1 a. No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date this order is filed,
2 Defendants shall either file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion,
3 or a notice to the Court that they are of the opinion that this matter cannot be resolved by
4 dispositive motion. The motion shall be supported by adequate factual documentation
5 and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

6 **Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor**
7 **qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If defendants are of the**
8 **opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so**
9 **inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**

10 All papers filed with the Court shall be promptly served on the Plaintiff.

11 b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with
12 the court and served upon defendants no later than thirty days from the date of service of
13 the motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING,"
14 which is provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir.
15 1998) (en banc), and *Klingele v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

16 If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed
17 to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a),
18 plaintiff should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING
19 (EXHAUSTION)." See *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003)

20 c. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after
21 Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

22 d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is
23 due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

24 4. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
25 Procedure. No further Court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or
26 Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

27 5. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be
28 granted. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **five** days prior


1 to the deadline sought to be extended.

2 6. All communications by Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant,
3 or Defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the
4 document to Defendant or Defendant's counsel.

5 7. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the
6 Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a
7 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to
8 prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: July 15, 2011

11 
12 _____
13 JEFFREY S. WHITE
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 ANTHONY TORRES,
6 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV11-02638 JSW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

7 v.

8 G. LEWIS et al,
9 Defendant.
10 _____/

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on July 15, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Anthony Torres
18 Pelican Bay State Prison
19 P.O. Box 7500
20 #K59800
21 Crescent City, CA 95532

Dated: July 15, 2011



Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk

