

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVE CRUMP,

No. C-11-2679 EMC (pr)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER PROVIDING RAND SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT NOTICE**

v.

TREVELYON JONES (Badge No. 8026),  
Oakland Police Department Officer; *et al.*,

Defendants.

The Ninth Circuit recently held that "*Rand* and *Wyatt* notices must be served concurrently with motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment so that pro se prisoners will have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required of them in order to oppose those motions." *Woods v. Carey*, Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. 7871, 7874 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012). Because it appears that a *Rand* notice was not served concurrently with the pending motion for summary judgment in this case, the Court will provide said notice now:

Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App A).

Because Plaintiff already has filed an opposition to the pending motion for summary judgment in this case, he may file a supplemental opposition on or before **July 27, 2012**. Defendants shall file a supplemental reply to any supplemental opposition on or before **August 3, 2012**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 11, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge