

1 COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
WALTER W. HANSELL (SBN 114913)
2 whansell@cwclaw.com
JIE-MING CHOU (SBN 211346)
3 jchou@cwclaw.com
201 California Street, 17th Floor
4 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 433-1900
5 Facsimile: (415) 433-5530

6 Attorneys for Comcast Cable Communications
Management, LLC and Sonia Aguilar
7

8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 **KARL HEINZ SCHROEDER, JR.,**

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 **COMCAST CORPORATION, SONIA**
15 **AGUILAR AND DOES 1-10,**

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. C 11-02697 CRB

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO CONTINUE
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

Local Rule 6-2

Date: December 16, 2011

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor

17
18 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1, Plaintiff Karl H. Schroeder, Jr. ("Plaintiff") and
19 defendants Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC ("Comcast"), erroneously sued as
20 Comcast Corporation, and Sonia Aguilar ("Aguilar") (Comcast and Aguilar shall collectively be
21 referred to as "Defendants") hereby stipulate and request the Court to continue the case
22 management conference currently set for December 16, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.

23 1. Whereas, Defendants will be filing a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended
24 Complaint ("Motion") on December 2, 2011 and plans to set the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss
25 on January 13, 2012.

26 2. Whereas, given the Motion to Dismiss hearing date of January 13, 2012, the case is
27 not and will not be at issue by the time of the December 16, 2011 case management conference
28 date. Additionally, the Court's ruling on the Motion to Dismiss will impact the factual and legal

1 issues in this case as well as the parties' disclosure requirements. The parties cannot therefore
2 adequately ascertain their obligations and strategy until the Court has ruled on the Motion and the
3 case becomes at issue.

4 3. Plaintiff and Defendants therefore request that the Court continue the initial case
5 management conference until sometime in late February or early March in order to allow time for
6 the Court to rule on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and for the parties to conduct the necessary
7 meet and confer for the joint case management conference statement if the case is not dismissed
8 by this Court.

9 4. The Court has granted one previous request by Plaintiff to continue the initial case
10 management conference, but this requested continuance will not have any effect on any other
11 court dates.

12 DATED: November 29, 2011

Respectfully submitted,
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP

13
14 By: /s/
15 Jie-Ming Chou
16 Attorneys for Defendants Comcast Cable
17 Communications Management, LLC and Sonia
Aguilar

18 DATED: November 29, 2011

Respectfully submitted,
John T. Putnam, Esq.

19
20 By: /s/
21 John T. Putnam
22 Attorney for Plaintiff Karl Heinz Schroeder


23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The case management conference is hereby set for _____ 8:30 a.m. on March 9, 2012.

Dated: _____ December 7, 2011



The Honorable Charles Breyer
United States District Court