1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	Northern District of California		
8			
9	DATRICK COLLING INC	No. C 11 2766 MEL	
10	PATRICK COLLINS, INC., Plaintiff,	No. C 11-2766 MEJ	
11	V.	ORDER RE MOTION TO QUASH (DOE DEFENDANT NO. 2590)	
12	DOES 1-2,590,	Docket No. 22	
13	Defendants.		
14	′		
15	On June 7, 2011, Plaintiff Patrick Collins, Inc. filed this lawsuit against 2,590 Doe		
		Defendants, alleging that Defendants illegally reproduced and distributed a work subject to	
16	Defendants, alleging that Defendants illegally re	produced and distributed a work subject to	
16 17		produced and distributed a work subject to <i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing	
17	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl.	
17 18	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating	asms 10"), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing	
17 18 19	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating ¶¶ 6-15, Dkt. No. 1. On September 22, 2011, the	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl.	
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating ¶¶ 6-15, Dkt. No. 1. On September 22, 2011, the Take Limited Expedited Discovery. Dkt. No. 12	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl. e Court granted Plaintiff's Application for Leave to	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating ¶¶ 6-15, Dkt. No. 1. On September 22, 2011, the Take Limited Expedited Discovery. Dkt. No. 12 on Does 1-2,590's Internet Service Providers ("I	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl. e Court granted Plaintiff's Application for Leave to 2. The Court permitted Plaintiff to serve subpoenas	
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating ¶¶ 6-15, Dkt. No. 1. On September 22, 2011, the Take Limited Expedited Discovery. Dkt. No. 12 on Does 1-2,590's Internet Service Providers ("I 45 subpoena that seeks information sufficient to	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl. e Court granted Plaintiff's Application for Leave to 2. The Court permitted Plaintiff to serve subpoenas (SPs") by serving a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating ¶¶ 6-15, Dkt. No. 1. On September 22, 2011, the Take Limited Expedited Discovery. Dkt. No. 12 on Does 1-2,590's Internet Service Providers ("1 45 subpoena that seeks information sufficient to address, telephone number, and email address of	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl. e Court granted Plaintiff's Application for Leave to 2. The Court permitted Plaintiff to serve subpoenas (SPs") by serving a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure identify the Doe Defendants, including the name,	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Plaintiff's exclusive license, (" <i>Real Female Org</i> network known as BitTorrent, thereby violating ¶¶ 6-15, Dkt. No. 1. On September 22, 2011, the Take Limited Expedited Discovery. Dkt. No. 12 on Does 1-2,590's Internet Service Providers ("1 45 subpoena that seeks information sufficient to address, telephone number, and email address of Does 1-2,590 with a copy of the subpoena, the O	<i>asms 10</i> "), using an internet peer-to-peer file sharing the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101-1322. Compl. e Court granted Plaintiff's Application for Leave to 2. The Court permitted Plaintiff to serve subpoenas (SPs") by serving a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure identify the Doe Defendants, including the name, f Does 1-2,590. <i>Id.</i> at 11. Once the ISPs provided	

Now before the Court is a Motion to Quash, filed by Doe Defendant No. 2590. Dkt. No. 22.
The Court hereby ORDERS Plaintiff to either: (1) file a voluntary dismissal without prejudice of

1	Doe Defendant No. 2590; or (2) show cause why the Court should not grant Doe's motion to	
2	dismiss. Plaintiff shall file its response by November 17, 2011.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4		
5	Dated: November 7, 2011	
6	Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
7	Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT For the Northern District of California