Semiconductor En	ergy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Nagata				Do
	Case3:11-cv-02793-CRB	Document38	Filed12/06/11	Page1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No dbergeson@be-law.com MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar N mmorton@be-law.com BERGESON, LLP 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 50 San Jose, CA 95110-2712 Telephone: (408) 291-6200 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000 R. TERRANCE RADER, Esq., (A rtr@raderfishman.com RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER	10. 209373)0 Pro Hac Vice) R PLLC			
8 9	39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304 Telephone: (248) 594-0600 Facsimile: (248) 594-0610				
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13 14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
16	SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY	Ca	ase No. CV11-027	793 CRB	
17	LABORATORY CO., LTD.			ND [PROPOSED] (
18	Plaintiff,	D	EFENDANT YU	IE FOR BRIEFING JIRO NAGATA'S	ON
19	vs.		MOTION FOR SANCTIONS		
20	YUJIRO NAGATA,		dge: Hon. Charle trm: 6, 17th Floo		
21	Defendant.		ase Filed: June 8, rial Date: Not Se		
22				·	
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	 				
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER 1	O EXTEND TIME F	OR BRIEFING	Case No. CV11-02793 CRI	в
				Do	ckets.Justia

Case3:11-cv-02793-CRB Document38 Filed12/06/11 Page2 of 3

1	Plaintiff Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. and defendant Yujiro Nagata,				
2	by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows pursuant to Civil				
3	Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2:				
4	WHEREAS, Defendant filed the Motion for Sanctions on November 28, 2011 with				
5	a hearing date of January 6, 2012; and				
6	WHEREAS, the Court reset the hearing date to January 20, 2012; and				
7	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to alter the briefing schedule for the Motion				
8	that Plaintiff may serve an opposition to Defendant's Motion for Sanctions on December				
9	30, 2011, 21 days before the hearing, and Defendant may serve a reply on January 6, 2012,				
10	14 days before the hearing; and				
11	WHEREAS, this change in the briefing schedule will not affect any deadlines in				
12	the case; and				
13	WHEREAS, the parties have previously stipulated to move the initial Case				
14	Management Conference and to give Defendant an additional 30 days to respond to the				
15	Complaint;				
16	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that:				
17	1) Plaintiff shall file its opposition to Defendant's Motion for Sanctions no				
18	later than December 30, 2011;				
19	2) Defendant shall file its reply to the Motion for Sanctions no later than				
20	January 6, 2012.				
21	Date: December 6, 2011 /s/ Melinda M. Morton				
22	MELINDA M. MORTON mmorton@be-law.com BERGESON, LLP				
23	303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500 San Jose, CA 95110-2712				
24	Telephone: (408) 291-6200 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000				
25 26					
26 27					
27 28					
∠۸	I STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR BRIEFING Case No. CV11-02793 CRB				

	Case3:11-cv-02793-CRB Document38 Filed12/06/11 Page3 of 3					
1 2	R. TERRANCE RADER, Esq., (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) rtr@raderfishman.com RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140					
3	Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304 Telephone: (248) 594-0600					
4	Facsimile: (248) 594-0610					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY					
6 7	LABORATORY CO., LTD.					
8	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that					
9	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.					
10	Date: December 6, 2011 TURNER BOYD LLP					
11						
12	/s/Julie S. Turner					
13	2570 W. El Camino Real, Suite 380					
14	Mountain View, CA 94040 P: 650.521.5930					
15	F: 650.521.5931 turner@turnerboyd.com					
16	Attorneys for Defendant					
17	YUJIRO NAGATA					
18						
19	ODDED					
20	ORDER In accordance with the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and with good cause					
21 22	In accordance with the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and with good cause appearing therefor, the Court enters the Stipulation as an Order of the Court.					
22						
23	TI IS SO ORDERED.					
25	Date: <u>December 8</u> , 2011 By: <u>Hower</u> By: <u>Howe</u> By: <u>How</u> By					
26						
27	Z Judge Charles R. Breyer					
28						
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR BRIEFING					