

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MITSUI O.S.K. LINES, LTD.,)	Case No. 11-2861 SC
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER DENYING AS MOOT
)	MOTIONS TO DISMISS FIRST
v.)	<u>AMENDED COMPLAINT</u>
)	
SEAMASTER LOGISTICS, INC.; SUMMIT)	
LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL, INC.;)	
AMERICAN GLOBAL LOGISTICS LLC;)	
KESCO CONTAINER LINE, INC.; KESCO)	
SHIPPING, INC.; and DOES 1 through)	
20,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Now before the Court are two motions to dismiss the First Amended Complaint, ECF No. 41 ("FAC"), of Plaintiff Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd. ("MOL"). The first motion to dismiss was brought by Defendants Seamaster Logistics, Inc. ("Seamaster") and Summit Logistics International, Inc. ("Summit Logistics"). ECF No. 62. The second motion to dismiss was brought by Defendant American Global Logistics LLC ("AGL"). ECF No. 64.

On February 10, 2012, the Court held a status conference at which Seamaster, Summit Logistics, and AGL (collectively, the "Movant Defendants") appeared through counsel, as did MOL. At the status conference, the Court granted MOL leave to file a Second Amended Complaint before April 1, 2012. See ECF No. 70. On

1 February 24, 2012, MOL did so. ECF No. 72 ("SAC"). Therefore, the
2 FAC is no longer the operative complaint in this case.

3 None of the Movant Defendants have withdrawn their respective
4 motions to dismiss the FAC. Because neither motion addresses the
5 operative version of the complaint, the Court DENIES both motions
6 as moot.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 13, 2012



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE