1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAYER BROWN LLP Carmine R. Zarlenga (D.C. Bar No. 286244) czarlenga@mayerbrown.com 1999 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-1101 Telephone: (202) 263-3000 Facsimile: (202) 263-3300 MAYER BROWN LLP Dale J. Giali (Cal. Bar No. 150382) dgiali@mayerbrown.com 350 South Grand Avenue 25th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: (213) 229-9500 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248 Attorneys for Defendant		
10	DREYĔR'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.		
11 12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	SKYE ASTIANA, et al.,) Case No. C11-02910 EMC	
16	Plaintiffs,) consolidated with) Case No. C11-3164 EMC	
17	VS.) STIPULATION AND [proposed]	
18	DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.,) ORDER (1) CONTINUING) DECEMBER 16, 2011 INITIAL CASE	
19	Defendant.) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, (2)) VACATING RESPONSE DATE TO	
20) COMPLAINT, AND (3)) CONFIRMING THAT THE PARTIES	
21	PAMELA RUTLEDGE-MUHS, et al.,) WILL TEMPORARILY FOREGO) LITIGATION ACTIVITIES	
22	Plaintiffs,		
23	V.		
24	DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.,		
25	Defendant.		
26		,	
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING CMC Case No. C11-02910		
	100002675 1		

1 Plaintiffs Skye Astiana, Pamela Rutledge-Muhs and Jay Woolwine and defendant 2 Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc., now known as Nestlé Dreyer's Ice Cream Co. 3 ("Drever's"), by and through their respective counsel of record and pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, enter into the following stipulation for an order (1) continuing the 4 December 16, 2011 initial case management conference to February 24, 2012, (2) 5 vacating the last day for Dreyer's to respond to the initial complaint, to be re-set, as 6 7 appropriate, at the February 24, 2012 case management conference, and (3) confirming 8 that the parties will forego litigation activities for a short period, all to facilitate further 9 mediation of this consolidated action without having simultaneously to expend the Court's and parties' time and resources on litigation: 10

WHEREAS, on June 14, 2011, plaintiff Astiana filed her initial complaint (Dkt.
#1);

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2011, plaintiffs Rutledge-Muhs and Woolwine filed
their initial complaint (Dkt. # 1 in Case No. C11-3164 EMC);

WHEREAS, on July 6, 2011, plaintiff Astiana and Dreyer's agreed to extend the
time for Dreyer's to respond to the *Astiana* complaint for 30 days (Dkt. #11);

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2011, the two complaints were deemed "related" and *Rutledge-Muhs* was re-assigned to this department (Dkt. #17);

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2011, the Court issued an order setting a single case
management conference for both cases for October 28, 2011 (Dkt. #18);

WHEREAS, on August 31, 2011, the court continued the October 28, 2011 initial
case management conference to December 16, 2011 and extended Dreyer's time to
respond to the complaints in the related actions until 30 days following the completion
of a planned November 30, 2011 mediation (Dkt. #31);

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2011, the Court consolidated the two cases for all
purposes, designating the *Astiana* complaint as the single active complaint and relieving
Dreyer's from any obligation to respond to the *Rutledge-Muhs* complaint (Dkt. #27);

28

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2011, the parties engaged in a full day mediation
 session in New York, New York, before David Geronemus of JAMS, and while the
 parties were unable to settle the matter during the first day of mediation, sufficient
 progress was made that the parties believe a second day of mediation is appropriate and
 warranted;

6 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to convene at least one additional day of
7 mediation in an effort to exhaust all possibilities of settlement, and also have agreed to
8 exchange or obtain certain information prior to the agreed-upon second day of
9 mediation;

WHEREAS, because of the time required to exchange or obtain the agreed-upon
information, as well as the time necessary to analyze the information prior to the second
day of mediation, and the need to coordinate the schedules of the mediator and the
parties, the parties are unable at this time to identify a date certain for the second day of
mediation;

WHEREAS, the parties believe they should conduct the second day of mediation
without having simultaneously to litigate the action so as to preserve the scarce
resources of the Court and the time and resources of the parties; and

18 WHEREAS, the parties believe it necessary and appropriate to allow for
19 sufficient time in the Court schedule to allow the parties to exchange and obtain the
20 agreed-upon information, analyze the information prior to the second day of mediation,
21 and schedule and conduct the second day of mediation;

NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION OF THE FOREGOING, IT ISHEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that:

The parties will schedule a second day of mediation following the
 exchanging or obtaining of agreed-upon information, and will report to the Court once
 the second day of mediation is scheduled;

27 28

2. The December 16, 2011 initial case management conference is continued 1 to Friday, February 24, 2012 at 9 a.m. in Courtroom 5, 17th Floor, 450 Golden Gate 2 3 Avenue, San Francisco, California;

Dreyer's last day to respond to the initial complaint in this consolidated 3. 4 action as set by the Court's August 31, 2011 order (Dkt. #31) is vacated, and the 5 response date will be addressed and rescheduled, as appropriate, at the continued case 6 7 management conference;

8 4. The parties agree to cease all litigation activities, including but not limited to serving discovery or addressing any of obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 or 26(f), 9 until at least after the February 24, 2012 continued initial case management conference; 10 11 and

5. 12 Nothing stated herein shall prevent the parties, or one of them, from seeking an order extending the litigation standstill as appropriate or from seeking to 13 modify further the obligations and deadlines set out in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 or 26(f). 14 LAW OFFICES OF JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG 15 Dated: December 8, 2011 16 Janet Lindner Spielberg 17 By: /s/ Janet Lindner Spielberg Janet Lindner Spielberg, Co-Lead Attorney for Plaintiffs 18 19 Dated: December 8, 2011 MAYER BROWN LLP 20 Carmine R. Zarlenga Dale J. Giali 21 By: /s/ Dale J. Giali 22 Dale J. Giali, Attorneys for Defendant 23 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO-ORDERE 24 25 Dated: December ____, 2011 Hon. Ectiva IT IS SO ORDERED 26 27 2e 28 Judge Edward M. Chen STIPULATION AND ORDER Case No. C

700002675 1

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on December 8, 2011, I caused the foregoing		
3	STIPULATION AND [proposed] ORDER (1) CONTINUING DECEMBER 16,		
4	2011 INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, (2) VACATING		
5	RESPONSE DATE TO COMPLAINT, AND (3) CONFIRMING THAT THE		
6 7	PARTIES WILL TEMPORARILY FOREGO LITIGATION ACTIVITIES to be		
7	electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court. I understand that the Court will provide		
8 9	electronic notification of and access to such filing to the counsel of record in this matter		
9 10	who are registered on the CM/ECF.		
10			
11	Dated: December 8, 2011MAYER BROWN LLP		
12	Dale J. Giali		
13			
15	By:/s/ Dale J. Giali		
16	Dale J. Giali		
17	Attorneys for Defendant DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.		
18	•		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-4- STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING CMC		
	Case No. C11-02910		