1 2	MAYER BROWN LLP Carmine R. Zarlenga (D.C. Bar No. 286244) czarlenga@mayerbrown.com	
2	1999 K Street, N.W.	
5 Л	Washington, D.C. 20006-1101 Telephone: (202) 263-3000 Facsimile: (202) 263-3300	
5	MAYER BROWN LLP	
6	Dale J. Giali (Cal. Bar No. 150382) dgiali@mayerbrown.com	
7	350 South Grand Avenue 25th Floor	
8	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: (213) 229-9500 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248	
9 10	Attorneys for Defendant DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15	SKYE ASTIANA, et al.,) Case No. C11-02910 EMC
16	Plaintiffs,) consolidated with) Case No. C11-3164 EMC
17	VS.) STIPULATION AND [proposed]
18	DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.,) ORDER (1) FURTHEŘ CÓNTIŃUING) FEBRUARY 24, 2012 INITIAL CASE) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, (2)
19	Defendant.) FURTHER CONTINUING THE) DATE TO RESPOND TO THE
20) COMPLAINT, AND (3) FURTHER) CONFIRMING THAT THE PARTIES
21		WILL TEMPORARILY FOREGO
22	PAMELA RUTLEDGE-MUHS, et al.,	
23	Plaintiffs,	
24	V.	
25	DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.,	
26	Defendant.	
27		,
28		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER FURTHER CONTINUING Case No. C11-02910	
	Case 110. C11-02210	

1 Plaintiffs Skye Astiana, Pamela Rutledge-Muhs and Jay Woolwine and defendant 2 Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc., now known as Nestlé Dreyer's Ice Cream Co. 3 ("Drever's"), by and through their respective counsel of record and pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, enter into the following stipulation for an order (1) further 4 continuing the initial case management conference from February 24, 2012 to March 5 23, 2012, (2) further continuing the last day to consider and re-set, as appropriate, 6 7 Dreyer's response date to the March 23, 2012 case management conference, and (3) 8 further confirming that the parties will forego litigation activities for a short period, all 9 to facilitate further mediation of this consolidated action without having simultaneously to expend the Court's and parties' time and resources on litigation: 10

WHEREAS, on June 14, 2011, plaintiff Astiana filed her initial complaint (Dkt.
#1);

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2011, plaintiffs Rutledge-Muhs and Woolwine filed
their initial complaint (Dkt. # 1 in Case No. C11-3164 EMC);

WHEREAS, on July 6, 2011, plaintiff Astiana and Dreyer's agreed to extend the
time for Dreyer's to respond to the *Astiana* complaint for 30 days (Dkt. #11);

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2011, the two complaints were deemed "related" and *Rutledge-Muhs* was re-assigned to this department (Dkt. #17);

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2011, the Court issued an Order setting a single case
management conference for both cases for October 28, 2011 (Dkt. #18);

WHEREAS, on August 31, 2011, the court continued the October 28, 2011 initial
case management conference to December 16, 2011 and extended Dreyer's time to
respond to the complaints in the related actions until 30 days following the completion
of a planned November 30, 2011 mediation (Dkt. #23);

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2011, the Court consolidated the two cases for all
purposes, designating the *Astiana* complaint as the single active complaint and relieving
Dreyer's from any obligation to respond to the *Rutledge-Muhs* complaint (Dkt. #27);

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2011, the parties engaged in a full day mediation
 session in New York, New York, before David Geronemus of JAMS, and while the
 parties were unable to settle the matter during the first day of mediation, sufficient
 progress was made that the parties believed a second day of mediation was appropriate
 and warranted;

6 WHEREAS, on December 8, 2011, the parties informed the Court of (i) their
7 intention to schedule an additional day of mediation in an effort to exhaust all
8 possibilities of settlement, and (ii) their desire that they should conduct the second day
9 of mediation without having simultaneously to litigate the action so as to preserve the
10 scarce resources of the Court and the time and resources of the parties (Dkt. #29);

WHEREAS, on December 13, 2011, and pursuant to the parties' stipulation (Dkt. 11 12 #29), the Court issued an Order (Dkt. #30) providing that (i) the parties will schedule a second day of mediation to occur following the exchanging or obtaining of agreed-upon 13 information, and will report to the Court once the second day of mediation is scheduled, 14 (ii), the December 16, 2011 initial case management conference is continued to Friday, 15 16 February 24, 2012, (iii) Dreyer's last day to respond to the initial complaint in this 17 consolidated action is vacated, and the response date will be addressed and rescheduled, as appropriate, at the continued case management conference, and (iv) the parties were 18 19 to cease all litigation activities until at least after the February 24, 2012 continued initial 20 case management conference;

WHEREAS, the parties have now scheduled a second day of mediation, but to
accommodate the schedules of the parties, their attorneys and the mediator, the second
day of mediation has been scheduled for the earliest reasonably feasible date of March
8, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the parties believe it appropriate in light of the March 8, 2012
scheduled second day of mediation to (i) further continue the initial case management
conference from February 24, 2012 to March 23, 2012, (ii) further continue the last day

to re-set, as appropriate, Dreyer's response date, and (iii) further confirm the parties'
 litigation standstill;

3 NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION OF THE FOREGOING, IT IS
4 HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that:

5 1. The February 24, 2012 initial case management conference is continued to
6 Friday, March 23, 2012 at 9 a.m. in Courtroom 5, 17th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue,
7 San Francisco, California;

8 2. Dreyer's last day to respond to the initial complaint, which has been
9 vacated by the Court (Dkt. #30), will be addressed and rescheduled, as appropriate, at
10 the March 23, 2012 continued case management conference;

The parties agree to cease all litigation activities, including but not limited
 to serving discovery or addressing any of the obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 or
 26(f), until at least after the March 23, 2012 continued initial case management
 conference; and

4. Nothing stated herein shall prevent the parties, or one of them, from
 seeking an order extending the litigation standstill as appropriate or from seeking to
 modify further the obligations and deadlines set out in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 or 26(f).
 Dated: January 19, 2012 LAW OFFICES OF JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG

Janet Lindner Spielberg, Co-Lead Attorney for Plaintiffs

By: /s/ Janet Lindner Spielberg

Dated: January 19, 2012 MAYER BROWN LLP

By: /s/ Dale J. Giali

Hon. Edward

Dale J. Giali, Attorneys for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Edward M. Chen

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED DISTRI

²⁶ Dated: January <u>24</u>, 2012 27

28

STIPULATION AND ORDER FURTHER COR Case No. C11-02910

701022690 1

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on January 19, 2012, I caused the foregoing STIPULATION		
3	AND [proposed] ORDER (1) FURTHER CONTINUING FEBRUARY 24, 2012		
4	INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, (2) FURTHER		
5	CONTINUING THE DATE TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT, AND (3)		
6	FURTHER CONFIRMING THAT THE PARTIES WILL TEMPORARILY		
7	FOREGO LITIGATION ACTIVITIES to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the		
8	Court. I understand that the Court will provide electronic notification of and access to		
9	such filing to the counsel of record in this matter who are registered on the CM/ECF.		
10			
11	Dated: January 19, 2012MAYER BROWN LLP		
12	Dale J. Giali		
13			
14 15	By:/s/ Dale J. Giali		
15	Dale J. Giali Attorneys for Defendant		
10	DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.		
18			
10 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-4- STIPULATION AND ORDER FURTHER CONTINUING CMC		
	Case No. C11-02910		