

1 Michael R. Lozeau (State Bar No. 142893)
 2 Richard T. Drury (State Bar No. 163559)
 3 Douglas J. Chermak (State Bar No. 233382)
 4 LOZEAU DRURY LLP
 5 410 12th Street, Suite 250
 6 Oakland, CA 94607
 7 Tel: (510) 836-4200
 8 Fax: (510) 836-4205 (fax)
 9 E-mail: michael@lozeaudrury.com
 10 richard@lozeaudrury.com
 11 doug@lozeaudrury.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 13 CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION
 14 ALLIANCE and PETALUMA RIVER COUNCIL

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING
 18 PROTECTION ALLIANCE, a non-profit
 19 corporation, and PETALUMA RIVER
 20 COUNCIL, an unincorporated association,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 M&M SERVICES, INC., a corporation, and
 24 SHILOH ROAD LLC, a limited liability
 25 company,

26 Defendants.

Case No. C11-02949 TEH

STIPULATION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
 CLAIMS AGAINST M&M SERVICES, INC.;
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
 DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS AGAINST M&M
 SERVICES, INC.
 [FRCP 41(a)(2)]

Honorable Judge Thelton E. Henderson

27 WHEREAS, on or about September 30, 2010, Plaintiffs California Sportfishing Protection
 28 Alliance ("CSPA") and Petaluma River Council provided Defendant M&M Services, Inc. ("M&M")
 with a Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit ("60-Day Notice Letter") under Section 505 of the
 Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Act" or "Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1365;

WHEREAS, on or about May 6, 2011, CSPA and Petaluma River Council provided an
 additional 60-Day Notice Letter under Section 505 of the Act to Shiloh Road LLC;

1 WHEREAS, on June 15, 2011, CSPA and Petaluma River Council filed their Complaint in
2 this action. Said Complaint incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in CSPA’s
3 Notice.

4 WHEREAS, on December 6, 2011, CSPA and Petaluma River Council filed their First
5 Amended Complaint adding Shiloh Road LLC as a defendant.

6 WHEREAS, CSPA, Petaluma River Council, and M&M, through their authorized
7 representatives and without either adjudication of Plaintiffs’ claims or admission by Defendant
8 M&M of any alleged violation or other wrongdoing, have chosen to resolve in full by way of
9 settlement Plaintiffs’ allegations against Defendant M&M as set forth in the Notice and Complaint,
10 thereby avoiding the costs and uncertainties of further litigation. A copy of the Settlement
11 Agreement and Mutual Release of Claims (“Settlement Agreement”) entered into by and between
12 CSPA, Petaluma River Council, and M&M is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by
13 reference.

14 WHEREAS, the parties submitted the Settlement Agreement via certified mail, return receipt
15 requested, to the U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice and the 45-day review period set
16 forth at 40 C.F.R. § 135.5 has completed and the federal agencies have submitted correspondence to
17 the Court indicating that they have no objection to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

18 WHEREAS, upon the Court’s entry of this proposed stipulated dismissal of the claims
19 against Defendant M&M, pursuant to Paragraph 3 of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs have
20 agreed to file a subsequent stipulated dismissal of the claims against Shiloh Road LLC pursuant to
21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), resolving the case in full.

22 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed to by and between CSPA,
23 Petaluma River Council, and M&M that Plaintiffs’ claims against M&M, as set forth in the Notice
24 and Complaint, be dismissed by the Court. CSPA, Petaluma River Council and M&M respectfully
25 request an order from this Court dismissing such claims against Defendant M&M pursuant to
26 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2). In accordance with paragraph 2 of the Settlement
27 Agreement, the parties also request that this Court maintain jurisdiction over CSPA, Petaluma River
28

1 Counsel and M&M and this action through December 18, 2015, for the sole purpose of resolving
2 any disputes between the parties with respect to enforcement of any provision of the Settlement
3 Agreement.

4 Dated: September 6, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

5
6 /s/ Michael R. Lozeau
Michael R. Lozeau
7 Attorney for Plaintiffs CALIFORNIA
SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE and
8 PETALUMA RIVER COUNCIL

9
10 /s/ Hans W. Herb
(as authorized on 9/6/12)
11 Attorney for Defendant M&M SERVICES, INC.

12 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

13 Good cause appearing, and the parties having stipulated and agreed,

14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs California Sportfishing Protection Alliance's and
15 Petaluma River Council's claims against Defendant M&M Services, Inc., as set forth in the Notice
16 and Complaint filed in Case No. C11-10364, are hereby dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
17 Procedure 41(a)(2).

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain jurisdiction over California
19 Sportfishing Protection Alliance, Petaluma River Council, and M&M Services, Inc. through
20 December 18, 2015 for the sole purpose of enforcing compliance by California Sportfishing
21 Protection Alliance, Petaluma River Council, and M&M Services, Inc. with the terms of the
22 Settlement Agreement, attached to the Stipulation to Dismiss as Exhibit 1, which Settlement
23 Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference.

24 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: 09/10, 2012

26
27
28

Thelton E. Henderson _____ Court Judge

