United States District Court Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	MICHAEL RODMAN, Plaintiff,	Case No. 11-cv-03003-JST
8	v.	ODDED DEOLIECTING
9		ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING RE: UNCONSCIONABILITY
10	SAFEWAY INC., Defendant.	Re: Dkt. No. 171
11		
12		
13	The Court requests supplemental briefing from the parties addressing whether the contract	
14	language below is either procedurally or substantively unconscionable under California law:	
15	"21. Changes to Terms and Conditions. Safeway reserves the right to, from time to time, with or without	
16	notice to you, in Safeway's sole discretion, amend the Terms and	
17	Conditions for Use and purchases regarding the online shopping services. Any amendments by Safeway will be effective only as to	
18	orders you place after Safeway's revisions of these Terms and Conditions as displayed on the Web site. It is your responsibility to	
19	review the Terms and Conditions before submitting each order. Safeway has no responsibility to notify you of any changes before	
20	such changes are effective." ¹	
21	The arguments the parties present should include, without limitation, a discussion of the California	
22	Supreme Court's treatment of unconscionability in Armendariz v. Foundation Health Psychcare	
23	Services, Inc., 24 Cal.4th 83 (2000).	
24		
25		
26		
27 28	¹ This order does not decide the question of whether this version of the Special Terms was operative on Safeway's website.	

Dockets.Justia.com

Opening supplemental briefs, not to exceed 15 pages in length, are due by October 1, 2014. Either party may, but is not required to, file a reply supplemental brief of not more than 10 pages by October 15, 2014. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 17, 2014 JON S. TIGAR United States District Judge

United States District Court Northern District of California