

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28United States District Court  
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL RODMAN,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SAFEWAY INC.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 11-cv-03003-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Motion to decertify the class	3/2/2015
Opposition to motion to decertify	3/23/2015
Close of fact discovery	3/27/2015
Deadline to complete mediation	3/27/2015
Reply to opposition to motion to decertify	4/6/2015
Designation of experts	4/10/2015
Hearing on motion to decertify	4/23/2015
Rebuttal expert designation	4/24/2015
Expert discovery deadline	5/6/2015
Damages summary judgment motion	5/15/2015

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Damages summary judgment opposition	5/29/2015
Damages summary judgment reply	6/5/2015
Damages summary judgment hearing	6/18/2015
Pretrial conference statement	9/11/15
Pretrial conference	9/11/2015 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	10/5/2015 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Five

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 28, 2015

  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge