1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL RODMAN, Plaintiff,

Case No. 11-cv-03003-JST

v.

ORDER RE: SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL

SAFEWAY, INC.,

Re: Dkt. No. 384

Defendant.

The Court is in receipt of the document entitled "Substitution of Attorneys" filed today by defendant Safeway, Inc. The document is ineffective.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 11–5(a), counsel may not withdraw from an action until relieved by order of the Court after written notice has been given reasonably in advance to the client and to all other parties who have appeared in the case. Civil L.R. 11-5(a). It does not appear that Safeway has complied with these requirements.

Any future motion to approve a substitution of counsel should state clearly whether the requested substitution is expected to have any effect on the existing case schedule. See 20 Federal Procedure, Lawyers Edition § 20:211 (online ed. 2015).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 19, 2015

nited States District Judge