1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	FRANK ALLEN,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. C 11-03110 WHA
12	v.	
13 14	RADIO SHACK CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 100,	REMINDER NOTICE OF UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17	This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a FINAL	
18	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE on FEBRUARY 11, 2013, AT 2:00 P.M., with a JURY TRIAL on	
19	FEBRUARY 19, 2013. Please consult the existing case management order and review and	
20	follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's	
21	website at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Continuances will rarely be granted.	
22	The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the	
23	shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including in limine orders, time limits and	
24	exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.	
25	To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and tria	

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial,
the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of
dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is
received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been

1

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed 2 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a 3 fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire 4 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial 5 act remains, the Court will arrange a status conference to work out an alternate procedure 6 pending a formal dismissal.

Please state whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance (but avoid stating offers, counteroffers or dollar amounts).

9 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and 10 desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to 11 use them:

> 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;

2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;

3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, e.g., Rule 39 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and

4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and closing argument).

Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference submissions.

23 Dated: September 13, 2012.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE