ıa		Case3:11-cv-03113-JSW Document38	Filed09/15/11	Page1 of 3		
	1	FRANCIS M. GREGOREK (144785) gregorek@whafh.com				
	2	BETSY C. MANIFOLD (182450)				
	3	<u>manifold@whafh.com</u> PATRICK H. MORAN (270881)				
	4	moran@whafh.com WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER				
	5	FREEMAN & HERZ LLP				
	6	750 B Street, Suite 2770 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619/239-4599 Facsimile: 619/234-4599				
	7					
		JOSEPH J. SIPRUT (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)				
	8	jsiprut@siprut.com				
	9	SIPRUT PC 122 South Michigan Ave., Suite 1850				
	10	Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: 312/588-1440 Facsimile: 312/427-1850				
	11					
SAN FRANCISCO	12	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class				
	13	LAURENCE F. FULURANI (CSB NO. 115103)				
	14	11LEK 0. NEWD1 (C3D N0. 203790)				
	15	tnewby@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP				
	16	555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, California 94104				
	17	Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350				
	18	Attorneys for Defendant,				
	19	PANDÓRA MEDIA, INC.				
	20	LINITED OT ATES DISTRICT COUDT				
	21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	23	TROY YUNCKER, individually and on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. CV	11-3113-JSW		
	24	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE			
	25	V.	FOR RESPO	<b>NSIVE PLEADING</b>		
	26	PANDORA MEDIA, INC.,				
	27	Defendant.				
	28					
		STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS		CASE NO. CV- 11-3113-JSW		

FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law San Francisco **STIPULATION** 

WHEREAS, on September 1, 2011, the Honorable U.S. District Judge Claudia Wilken, to whom this matter was previously assigned, denied Pandora's Motion for Stay;

WHEREAS, on September 13, 2011, Judge Jeffrey S. White issued an Order relating this 4 5 case to the In re Google Inc. Android Privacy Litigation MDL (the "Google MDL"), and ordered the parties to appear at the September 23, 2011 Case Management Conference scheduled in that 6 7 matter:

WHEREAS, on September 14, 2011, this matter was reassigned to this Court for 8 coordination with the *Google MDL*; 9

WHEREAS, the parties had previously stipulated that if this Court denied Pandora's 10 Motion to Stay, Pandora's responsive pleading would be due 10 court days from this Court's order, thereby setting September 16, 2011 as the date for Pandora to file its responsive pleading; 12 WHEREAS, before this Court's September 13 Order, Defendant Pandora had requested 13 additional time from Plaintiff to file its responsive pleading; 14

WHEREAS, Plaintiff agreed to extend Defendant Pandora's time to file its responsive 15 pleading to and including September 30, 2011 and will not agree to any further extensions of time 16 for Pandora to file its responsive pleading to Plaintiff's complaint filed on June 27, 2011, and 17 WHEREAS, Defendant Pandora intends to seek an Order of the Court at the September 18 23, 2011 Case Management Conference continuing its time to file a responsive pleading to the 19 Complaint to the same date on which Google is required to file a responsive pleading in the 20 *Google MDL*, and to coordinate the briefing and hearing schedule on those responsive motions. 21 22 By entering this stipulation, Pandora does not intend to waive its ability to seek such a case 23 management order at the September 23, 2011 CMC;

NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiff has agreed to extend Defendant Pandora's time to file its 24 25 responsive pleading to and including September 30, 2011 and because the responsive pleading is likely to be a complex motion, the parties met and conferred in order to set longer periods for an 26 opposition and reply as follows: 27

28 If Defendant Pandora files a Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff shall file his opposition on or STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CASE NO. CV- 11-3113-JSW 1 BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS

1

2

3

11

	Case3:11-cv-03113-JSW Documen	t38 Filed09/15/11 Page3 of 3	
1	before November 1, 2011 and		
2	Defendant Pandora shall file its Reply, if any, on or before November 23, 2011.		
3	The parties will discuss hearing dates at the September 23, 2011 CMC as is convenient		
4	with the Court's schedule.		
5			
6	Dated: September 15, 2011	WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER	
7		FREEMAN & HERZ LLP	
8		By: <u>/s/ Betsy Manifold</u> Betsy Manifold	
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class	
10	Dated: September 15, 2011	FENWICK & WEST LLP	
11			
12		By: <u>/s/ Tyler G. Newby</u> Tyler G. Newby	
13		Attorneys for Defendant	
14		PANDÓRA MEDIA, INC.	
15	ORDER		
16			
17	<b>SO ORDERED</b> at San Francisco, California this <u>16th</u> day of September, 2011.		
18			
19		Jeffrey Storhite	
20		Honorable Jeffrey S. White United States District Judge	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION AND <u>[PROPOSED]</u> ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RESPONSIVE PLEADING	s 2 CASE NO. CV- 11-3113-JSW	

FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law San Francisco