

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 PEPI SCHAFNER, MSS JD,  
9 Plaintiff/Petitioner,

10 v.

11 RANDALL NEWSOME *et al.*,  
12 Defendants/Respondents.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

No. C 11-3175 SI

**ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S  
MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING  
SERVICE AND DENYING  
PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION**

14 In an order filed October 28, 2011, the Court dismissed this action without leave to amend based  
15 on Judge Breyer's determination that this action is not permitted under the terms of the vexatious litigant  
16 order issued in *Schafner v. Newsome et al.*, C 04-2535 CRB (Docket No. 15).

17 After this case was dismissed, petitioner filed several motions, including a motion for  
18 reconsideration. The Court DENIES petitioner's motions. Docket Nos. 18 & 20. If petitioner wishes  
19 to challenge the dismissal of this lawsuit, she may file an appeal.  
20

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22  
23 Dated: November 16, 2011



24 SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28