1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8 9	IN RE OCLARO, INC. DERIVATIVE Lead Case No. C-11-3176 EMC LITIGATION.
9 10	ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL / BRIEFING RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
10	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
12	
13	
14	The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's motion for preliminary approval. Having reviewed the
15	motion, the Court hereby orders the parties to provide a joint supplemental brief regarding the
16	following issues. The joint supplemental briefing shall be filed no later than April 10, 2014.
17	1. <u>Corporate Governance Reform</u>
18	Under the settlement agreement, the corporate governance reform measures are limited to
19	two years. <i>See</i> Stip. of Sett. § 2.1. The parties shall address whether time limitations are common in
20	settlements of derivative lawsuits and whether a two-year period is adequate.
21	2. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>
22	Plaintiff intends to ask for attorney's fees in the amount of \$250,000. The Court
23	acknowledges that no fee motion need be filed at this point in the proceedings; however, the Court
24	should have a sense of whether this figure is related to the lodestar. Plaintiff shall address this issue
25	in the supplemental briefing.
26	///
27	///
28	///

3. <u>Notice</u>

2 The parties have pointed to several cases where notice comparable to that proposed herein
3 has been acceptable. The parties should address whether such notice, absent mail notice, satisfies
4 Rule 23.1(b).

In addition, the parties should explain why notice on Oclaro's website should be posted on only the "investor relations section of the Company's website." Stip. of Sett. § 3.2.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: March 27, 2014

EDWAR CHEN

United States District Judge