1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 LORENZO MENDOZA MARTINEZ, ELIU MENDOZA, ELIEZER MENDOZA MARTINEZ, and GLORIA MARTINEZ 9 No. C 11-03194 WHA MONTES, 10 Plaintiffs, 11 **RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE** v. 12 AERO CARIBBEAN, EMPRESA 13 AEROCARIBBEAN S.A., CUBANA DE AVIACION S.A., and AVIONS DE 14 TRANSPORT REGIONAL, Defendants. 15 16 On April 20, 2012, an order dismissed defendant Avions de Transport Regional for lack 17 18 of personal jurisdiction (Dkt. No. 48). Judgment was entered in favor of ATR and against 19 plaintiffs, but with the express statement that judgment was not entered as to the remaining 20 defendants — Aero Carribean, Empressa Aerocarribean S.A., and Cubana de Aviacion S.A. 21 Plaintiffs then filed a notice of appeal on the dismissal order only. 22 Our court of appeals has since ordered a limited remand to determine whether the 23 dismissal order should be certified as an appealable, final judgment under Federal Rule of Civil 24 Procedure 54(b). Because ATR has been dismissed from this action as a defendant, and

because there is no just reason for delay, this order certifies the dismissal order pursuant to Rule 54(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 2, 2014.

25

26

27

28

