

1 INNOVATIVE AUTOMATION, LLC,

2 Defendant.


3 _____/

4 As of December 1, 2011, all parties who had appeared in the above-captioned patent cases
5 had consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction, and, as a result of a related case ruling by the
6 undersigned, all were assigned to this court. All were then consolidated for purposes of claims
7 construction, and this court issued a scheduling order.¹

8 However, upon plaintiff's motion this court permitted the filing of a First Amended
9 Complaint in action C11-03410 that added additional defendants. Some of these defendants have
10 appeared in the action and filed a declination to proceed before a magistrate judge. Accordingly,
11 Innovative Automation, LLC v. Mediatechnic Solutions, et al., C11-03410, is hereby severed from
12 the other consolidated cases so that it may be reassigned to a district judge.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: January 18, 2012

15 
16 HOWARD R. LLOYD
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18 _____/

19 ¹ Innovative Automation v. Primera, C11-03409-HRL, was also consolidated for claims
20 construction, but since then it has settled and been dismissed,

1 **C11-03409, C11-03410, C11-03411, C11-03412, C11-04224 Notice will be electronically mailed**
2 **to:**

3 Adam Gutride adam@gutridesafier.com
4 Seth Adam Safier seth@gutridesafier.com
5 Todd Michael Kennedy todd@gutridesafier.com
6 Victoria L.H. Booke vbooke@gmail.com
7 Martin R. Glick mgliek@howardrice.com
8 Edward Andrew Bayley ebayley@howardrice.com
9 Jeffrey M. Fisher jfisher@fbm.com
10 Julie B. Wahlstrand jwahlstrand@fbm.com
11 Clifford R. Horner chorner@hornersinger.com
12 Sterling Arthur Brennan sbrennan@wnlaw.com
13 Thomas Dubberke tdubberke@beesontayer.com
14 Tyson Keith Hottinger thottinger@wnlaw.com
15 Charles J. Veverka cveverka@wnlaw.com
16 Sterling Arthur Brennan sbrennan@wnlaw.com
17 Jen-Feng Lee jflee@ltpacificlaw.com

18 **Notice will be provided by mail to:**

19 Thomas E. Cherry
20 Coptech Digital, Inc.
21 100 Cimmings Park Woburn, MA 01801

22 **Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not**
23 **registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.**

24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28